International Association of Dental Traumatology Guidelines for the Management of Traumatic Dental Injuries: 3. Injuries in the Primary Dentition

Endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry



How to Cite: Day PF, Flores MT, O'Connell AC, et al. International

2020

Association of Dental Traumatology guidelines for the management of traumatic dental injuries: 3. Injuries in the primary dentition. Dent Traumatol 2020;36(4):343-359. https://doi.org/10.1111/edt.12576.

Authors

Peter F. Day¹ • Marie Therese Flores² • Anne C. O'Connell³ • Paul V. Abbott⁴ • Georgios Tsilingaridis^{5,6} Ashraf F. Fouad⁷ • Nestor Cohenca⁸ • Eva Lauridsen⁹ • Cecilia Bourguignon¹⁰ • Lamar Hicks¹¹ • Jens Ove Andreasen¹² • Zafer C. Cehreli¹³ • Stephen Harlamb¹⁴ • Bill Kahler¹⁵ • Adeleke Oginni¹⁶ • Marc Semper¹⁷ • Liran Levin¹⁸

Abstract

Traumatic injuries to the primary dentition present special problems that often require far different management when compared to that used for the permanent dentition. The International Association of Dental Traumatology (IADT) has developed these Guidelines as a consensus statement after a comprehensive review of the dental literature and working group discussions. Experienced researchers and clinicians from various specialties and the general dentistry community were included in the working group. In cases where the published data did not appear conclusive, recommendations were based on the consensus opinions or majority decisions of the working group. They were then reviewed and approved by the members of the IADT Board of Directors. The primary goal of these Guidelines is to provide clinicians with an approach for the immediate or urgent care of primary teeth injuries based on the best evidence provided by the literature and expert opinions. The IADT cannot, and does not, quarantee favorable outcomes from strict adherence to the Guidelines; however, the IADT believes their application can maximize the probability of favorable outcomes. (Dental Traumatology 2020;36(4):343-359; doi: 10.1111/edt.12576) Received May 19, 2020 | Accepted May 19 2020.

KEYWORDS: AVULSION, LUXATION, PREVENTION, TOOTH FRACTURE, TRAUMA

¹School of Dentistry, University of Leeds and Community Dental Service Bradford District Care NHS Trust, Leeds, UK. ²Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universidad de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile. ³Paediatric Dentistry, Dublin Dental University Hospital, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. 4UWA Dental School, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA, Australia. 5Division of Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry, Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden. 6Center for Pediatric Oral Health Research, Stockholm, Sweden. 7Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. *Department of Pediatric Dentistry, University of Washington and Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA. 9Resource Center for Rare Oral Diseases, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹⁰Specialist Private Practice, Paris, France. ¹¹Division of Endodontics, University of Maryland School of Dentistry, UMB, Baltimore, MD, USA. ¹²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Resource Centre for Rare Oral Diseases, University Hospital in Copenhagen (Rigshospitalet), Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹³Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey. [™]Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ¹⁵School of Dentistry, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Qld, Australia. ¹⁶Faculty of Dentistry, College of Health Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. "Specialist Private Practice, Bremen, Germany. ¹⁸Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada. Correspondence: Liran Levin, Chair of the IADT Guidelines Committee, Faculty of Medicine &

Dentistry, University of Alberta, 5-468 Edmonton Clinic Health Academy, 11405-87 Avenue NW, 5th Floor, Edmonton, AB T6G 1C9, Canada

Fmail: liran@ualberta.ca

1 | INTRODUCTION

Injuries to children are a major threat to their health, and they are generally a neglected public health problem.¹ For children, aged 0-6 years, oral injuries account for 18% of all physical injuries and the mouth is the second most common area of the body to be injured.² A recent meta-analysis on traumatic dental injuries (TDIs) reveals a world prevalence of 22.7% affecting the primary teeth.³ Repeated TDIs are also frequently seen in children.⁴

Unintentional falls, collisions, and leisure activities are the most common reasons for TDIs, especially as children learn to crawl, walk, run, and embrace their physical environment.⁵ They most commonly occur between 2 and 6 years of age⁴⁻⁷ with injuries to periodontal tissues occurring most frequently.^{6,8} Children with these injuries present to many healthcare settings, including general dental practitioners, emergency medical services, pharmacists, community dental clinics, and specialist dental services. Consequently, each service provider needs to have the appropriate knowledge, skills, and training in how to care for children with TDIs to their primary dentition.

The primary teeth Guidelines contain recommendations for the diagnosis and management of traumatic injuries to the primary dentition, assuming the child is medically healthy with a sound and caries-free primary dentition. Management strategies may change where multiple teeth are injured. Many articles have contributed to the content of these Guidelines and the treatment tables (1-12) and these articles are not mentioned elsewhere in this introductory text.9-15

1.1 | Initial presentation and minimizing anxiety to the child and parent

Management of TDIs in children is distressing for both the child and the parents. It can also be challenging for the dental team. A TDI in the primary dentition often may be the reason for the child's first visit to the dentist. Minimizing anxiety for the child and parents, or other caregivers, during the initial visit is essential. At this young age, the child may resist co-operating for an extensive examination, radiographs, and treatment. Knee-to-knee examination can be helpful in examining a young child. Information about how to undertake an examination of a child with a TDI involving their primary dentition can be found in current textbooks^{16–18} or can be viewed in the following video (https://tinyurl.com/kneetokneeexamination). Wherever possible, the acute and follow-up dental care should be provided by a childoriented team that has experience and expertise in the management of pediatric oral injuries. These teams are best placed to access specialist diagnostic and treatment services, including sedation and general anesthesia, and pain management for the prevention or minimization of suffering.¹⁹

1.2 | A structured approach

It is essential that clinicians adopt a structured approach to managing traumatic dental injuries. This includes history taking, undertaking the clinical examination, collecting test results, and how this information is recorded. The literature shows that the use of a structured history at the initial consultation leads to a significant improvement in the quality of the trauma records involving the permanent dentition^{5,20}. There are a variety of structured histories available in current textbooks^{16–18} or used at different specialist centers.^{21,22} Extra-oral and intra-oral photographs act as a permanent record of the injuries sustained and are strongly recommended.

1.3 | Initial assessment

Elicit a careful medical, social (including those who attend with the child), dental, and accident history. Thoroughly examine the head and neck and intra-orally for both bony and soft tissue injuries.^{17,18} Be alert to concomitant injuries including head injury, facial fractures, missing tooth fragments, or lacerations. Seek a medical examination if necessary.

1.4 | Soft tissue injuries

It is essential to identify, record, and diagnose extra-oral and intraoral soft tissue injuries. (R,23) The lips, oral mucosa, attached and free gingivae, and the frenula should be checked for lacerations and hematomas. The lips should be examined for possible embedded tooth fragments. The presence of a soft tissue injury is strongly associated with the pursuit of immediate care. Such injuries are most commonly found in the 0- to 3-year age group. (Management of soft tissues, beyond just first aid, should be provided by a child-oriented team with experience in pediatric oral injuries. Parental engagement with the homecare for soft tissue injuries to the gingivae is critical and will influence the outcomes for healing of the teeth and soft tissues. Parental homecare instructions for intra-oral soft tissue injuries are described later in these Guidelines.

1.5 | Tests, crown discoloration, and radiographs

Extra-oral and intra-oral photographs are strongly recommended.

Pulp sensibility tests are unreliable in primary teeth and are therefore not recommended.

Tooth mobility, color, tenderness to manual pressure, and the position or displacement should be recorded.

The color of injured and uninjured teeth should be recorded at each clinic visit. Discoloration is a common complication following luxation injuries.^{8,25-27} This discoloration may fade, and the tooth may regain its original shade over a period of weeks or months.^{8,28-30} Teeth with persistent dark discoloration may remain asymptomatic clinically and radiographically normal, or they may develop apical periodontitis (with or without symptoms).^{31,32} Root canal

treatment is not indicated for discolored teeth unless there are clinical or radiographic signs of infection of the root canal system.^{18,33}

Every effort has been made in these Guidelines to reduce the number of radiographs needed for accurate diagnosis, thus minimizing a child's exposure to radiation. For essential radiographs, radiation protection includes the use of a thyroid collar where the thyroid is in the path of the primary X-ray beam and a lead apron for when parents are holding the child. Radiation-associated risks for children are a concern as they are substantially more susceptible to the effects of radiation exposure for the development of most cancers than adults. This is due to their longer life expectancy and the acute radiosensitivity of some developing organs and tissues.^{34,35} Therefore, clinicians should question each radiograph they take and cognitively ask whether additional radiographs will positively affect the diagnosis or treatment provided for the child. Clinicians must work within the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principles to minimize the radiation dose. The use of CBCT following TDI in young children is rarely indicated.³⁶

1.6 | Diagnosis

A careful and systematic approach to diagnosis is essential. Clinicians should identify all injuries to each tooth including both hard tissues injuries (eg, fractures) and periodontal injuries (eg, luxations). When concomitant injuries occur in the primary dentition following extrusion and lateral luxation injuries, they have a detrimental impact on pulp survival.²⁷ The accompanying tables (1-12) and the trauma pathfinder diagram (www.dentaltraumaguide.org) help clinicians identify all possible injuries for each injured tooth.

1.7 | Intentional (non-accidental) injuries

Dental and facial trauma can occur in cases of intentional injuries. Clinicians should check whether the history of the accident and the injuries sustained are consistent or match. In situations where there is suspicion of abuse, prompt referral for a full physical examination and investigation of the incident should be arranged. Referral should follow local protocols, which is beyond the scope of these Guidelines.

1.8 | Impact of orofacial and primary tooth trauma on the permanent dentition

There is a close spatial relationship between the apex of the primary tooth root and the underlying permanent tooth germ. Tooth malformation, impacted teeth, and eruption disturbances in the developing permanent dentition are some of the consequences that can occur following injuries to primary teeth and the alveolar bone.^{37–43} Intrusion and avulsion injuries are most commonly associated with the development of anomalies in the permanent dentition.^{37–42}

For intrusive and lateral luxation injuries, previous Guidelines have recommended the immediate extraction of the traumatized primary tooth if the direction of displacement of the root is toward the permanent tooth germ. This action is no longer advised due to (a) evidence of spontaneous reeruption for intruded primary teeth, 8,10,26,43-45 (b) the concern that further damage may be inflicted on the tooth germ during extraction, and (c) the lack of evidence that immediate extraction will minimize further damage to the permanent tooth germ.

It is very important to document that parents have been informed about possible complications to the development of the permanent teeth, especially following intrusion, avulsion, and alveolar fractures.

1.9 $\,\mid\,\,$ Management strategy for injuries to the primary dentition

In general, there is limited evidence to support many of the treatment options in the primary dentition. Observation is often the most appropriate option in the emergency situation unless there is risk of aspiration, ingestion, or interference with the occlusion. This conservative approach may reduce additional suffering for the child¹⁸ and the risk of further damage to the permanent dentition. ^{18,46,47}

 TABLE 1
 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Enamel fractures

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outco all, of the following	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
Enamel fracture	recommendations Treatment	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcomes	Unfavorable outcomes
Clinical findings: Fracture involves enamel only	No radiographs recommended	Smooth any sharp edges. Parent/patient education: Exercise care when eating not to further traumatize the injured tooth while encouraging a return to normal function as soon as possible. Encourage gingival healing and prevent plaque accumulation by parents cleaning the affected area with a soft brush or cotton swab combined with an alcohol-free 0.1 to 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate mouth rinse applied topically twice a day for 1 wk	No clinical or radiographic follow up recommended	Asymptomatic Pulp healing with: Normal color of the remaining crown No signs of pulp necrosis and infection Continued root development in immature teeth	Symptomatic Crown discoloration Signs of pulp necrosis and infection—such as: Sinus tract, gingival swelling, abscess, or increased mobility Persistent dark gray discoloration with one or more other signs of infection Radiographic signs of pulp necrosis and infection No further root development of immature teeth

 TABLE 2
 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Enamel-dentin fractures (with no pulp exposure)

Enamel-dentin fracture (with no	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following	tcomes include some, but not
pulp exposure)	recommendations	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	 Baseline radiograph 	 Cover all exposed dentin with 	 Clinical examination 	 Asymptomatic 	 Symptomatic
	optional	glass ionomer or composite	after 6-8 wk	 Pulp healing with: 	 Crown discoloration
1	 Take a radiograph of the 	 Lost tooth structure can be 	 Radiographic follow up 	 Normal color of the 	 Signs of pulp necrosis and
	soft tissues if the fractured	restored using composite	indicated only when	remaining crown	infection—such as:
	fragment is suspected to	immediately or at a later	clinical findings are	 No signs of pulp 	 Sinus tract, gingival
Clinical findings: Fracture	be embedded in the lips,	appointment	suggestive of pathosis	necrosis and infection	swelling, abscess, or
involves enamel and dentin. The	cheeks, or tongue	 Parent/patient education: 	(eg, signs of pulp	 Continued root 	increased mobility
pulp is not exposed		 Exercise care when eating 	necrosis and infection)	development in	 Persistent dark gray
 The location of missing tooth 		not to further traumatize	 Parents should watch 	immature teeth	discoloration with one
fragments should be explored		the injured tooth while	for any unfavorable		or more other signs of
during the trauma history		encouraging a return to	outcomes. If seen, the		root canal infection
and examination, especially		normal function as soon as	child needs to return		 Radiographic signs
when the accident was not		possible	to the clinic as soon		of pulp necrosis and
witnessed by an adult or there		 Encourage gingival healing 	as possible. When		infection
was a loss of consciousness		and prevent plaque	unfavorable outcomes		 No further root
 Note: While fragments are 		accumulation by parents	are identified, treatment		development of immature
most often lost out of the		cleaning the affected area	is often required		teeth
mouth, there is a risk that they		with a soft brush or cotton	 The follow-up 		
can be embedded in the soft		swab combined with an	treatment, which		
tissues, ingested, or aspirated		alcohol-free 0.1 to 0.2%	frequently requires		
		chlorhexidine gluconate	the expertise of a		
		mouth rinse applied topically	child-oriented team, is		
		twice a day for 1 wk	outside the scope of		
			these guidelines		

 TABLE 3
 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Complicated crown fractures (with pulp exposure)

Complicated crown				Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following	outcomes include some, he following
exposed pulp)	Radiographic recommendations	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
Clinical findings: Fracture involves enamel and dentin plus the pulp is exposed. The location of missing tooth fragments should be explored during the trauma history and examination, especially when the accident was not witnessed by an adult or there was a loss of consciousness. Note: While fragments are most often lost out of the mouth, there is a risk that they can be embedded in the soft tissues, ingested, or aspirated	A periapical radiograph (using a size 0 sensor/film and the paralleling technique) or an occlusal radiograph (with a size 2 sensor/film) should be taken at the time of initial presentation for diagnostic purposes and to establish a baseline Take a radiograph of the soft tissues if the fractured fragment is suspected to be embedded in the lips, cheeks, or tongue	Preserve the pulp by partial pulpotomy. Local anesthesia will be required. A non-settling calcium hydroxide paste should be applied over the pulp and cover this with a glass ionomer cement and then a composite resin. Cervical pulpotomy is indicated for teeth with large pulp exposures. The evidence for using other biomaterials such as nonstaining calcium silicate-based cements is emerging. Clinicians should focus on appropriate case selection rather than the material used Treatment depends on the child's maturity and ability to tolerate procedures. Therefore, discuss different treatment options (including pulpotomy) with the parents. Each option is invasive and has the potential to cause longterm dental anxiety. Treatment is best performed by a child-oriented team with experience and expertise in the management of pediatric dental injuries. Often no treatment may be the most appropriate option in the emergency situation, but only when there is the potential for rapid referral (within several days) to the child-oriented team • Parent/patient education: - Exercise care when eating not to further traumatize the injured tooth while encouraging a return to normal function as soon as possible. - To encourage gingival healing and prevent plaque accumulation, parents should clean the affected area with a soft brush or cotton swab combined with an alcohol-free 0.1 to 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate mouth rinse applied topically twice a day for 1 wk	Clinical examination after: 1 wk 6-8 wk 1 y following pulpotomy or root canal treatment. Other radiographs are only indicated where clinical findings are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an unfavorable outcome). Parents should watch for any unfavorable outcomes. If seen, the child needs to return to the clinic as soon as possible. Where unfavorable outcomes are identified, treatment is often required. The follow-up treatment, which frequently requires the expertise of a childoriented team, is outside the scope of these guidelines	Asymptomatic Pulp healing with: Normal color of the remaining crown No signs of pulp necrosis and infection Continued root development in immature teeth	Symptomatic Crown discoloration Signs of pulp necrosis and infection—such as: Sinus tract, gingival swelling, abscess, or increased mobility persistent dark gray discoloration with one or more signs of root canal infection Radiographic signs of pulp necrosis and infection No further root development of immature teeth immature teeth

Crown-root fractures
teeth:
primary
for
guidelines
Treatment
4
ABLE
\vdash

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable out necessarily all, of the following	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
Crown-root fracture	recommendations	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
Clinical findings: Fracture involves enamel, dentin, and root; the pulp may or may not be exposed (ie, complicated or uncomplicated or uncomplicated or but still attached, fragments of tooth fragments of tooth	A periapical radiograph (using a size 0 sensor/film and the paralleling technique) or an occlusal radiograph (with a size 2 sensor/film) should be taken at the time of initial presentation for diagnostic purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the periapical purposes and to establish a baseline A periapical purposes and the peria	 Often no treatment may be the most appropriate option in the emergency situation, but only when there is the potential for rapid referral (within several days) to a child-oriented team If treatment is considered at the emergency appointment, local anesthesia will be required. Remove the loose fragment and determine if the crown can be restored Option A: If restorable and no pulp exposed, cover the exposed dentine with glass ionomer If restorable and the pulp is exposed, perform a pulpotomy (see crown fracture with exposed pulp) or root canal treatment, depending on the stage of root development and the level of the fracture. Option B: If unrestorable, extract all loose fragments taking care not to damage the permanent successor tooth and leave any firm root fragment in situ, or extract the entire tooth allility to tolerate the procedure. Therefore, discuss treatment options (including extraction) with the parents. Each option is invasive and has the potential to cause long-term dental anxiety. Treatment is best performed by a child-oriented team with experience and expertise in the management of pediatric dental injuries. Parent/patient education:	• Where tooth is retained, clinical examination after: - 1 wk - 6-8 wk - 1 y • Radiographic follow up after 1 y following pulpotomy or root canal treatment. Other radiographs only indicated where clinical findings are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an unfavorable outcome) • Parents should watch for any unfavorable outcomes. If seen, the child needs to return to the clinic as soon as possible. Where unfavorable outcomes are identified, treatment is often required treatment is often required treatment is often requires the expertise of a child-oriented team, is outside the scope of these guidelines	Asymptomatic Pulp healing with: Normal color of the remaining crown No signs of pulp necrosis and infection Continued root development in immature teeth immature teeth	Symptomatic Crown discoloration Signs of pulp necrosis and infection—such as: - Sinus tract, gingival swelling, abscess, or increased mobility - Persistent dark gray discoloration with one or more signs of root canal infection - Radiographic signs of pulp necrosis and infection No further root development of immature teeth No further seeth

TABLE 5 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Root fractures

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following	utcomes include some, but owing
Root fracture	findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	 A periapical (size 0 	 If the coronal fragment is not displaced, no 	 Where no displacement of 	 Asymptomatic 	 Symptomatic
9/3	sensor/film, paralleling	treatment is required	coronal fragment, clinical	 Pulp healing with: 	 Signs of pulp necrosis
1	technique) or occlusal	 If the coronal fragment is displaced and is not 	examination after:	 Normal color of the 	and infection—such as:
	radiograph (size 2	excessively mobile, leave the coronal fragment	- 1 wk	crown or transient	 Sinus tract, gingival
	sensor/film) should be	to spontaneously reposition even if there is	- 6-8 wk	red/gray or yellow	swelling, abscess, or
	taken at the time of	some occlusal interference	- 1 y and where there are	discoloration and pulp	increased mobility
Clinical findings:	initial presentation for	 If the coronal fragment is displaced, excessively 	clinical concerns that an	canal obliteration	 Persistent dark gray
Depends on	diagnostic purposes	mobile and interfering with occlusion, two	unfavorable outcome is likely.	- No signs of pulp	discoloration with
the location of	and to establish a	options are available, both of which require local	- Then continue clinical follow	necrosis and infection	one or more signs of
fracture	baseline	anesthesia	up each year until eruption of	 Continued root 	root canal infection
 The coronal 	 The fracture is usually 	Option A:	permanent teeth	development in	- Radiographic signs
fragment may	located mid-root or in	- Extract only the loose coronal fragment. The	 If coronal fragment has been 	immature teeth	of pulp necrosis and
be mobile	the apical third	apical fragment should be left in place to be	repositioned and splinted,	 Realignment of the root- 	infection
and may be		resorbed	clinical examination after:	fractured tooth	- Radiographic signs
displaced		Option B:	- 1 wk	 No mobility 	of infection-related
 Occlusal 		- Gently reposition the loose coronal fragment.	 4 wk for splint removal 	 Resorption of the apical 	(inflammatory)
interference		If the fragment is unstable in its new position,	- 8 wk	fragment	resorption
may be		stabilize the fragment with a flexible splint	- 1y		 No further root
present		attached to the adjacent uninjured teeth. Leave	 If coronal fragment has been 		development of
		the splint in place for 4 wk	extracted, clinical examination		immature teeth
		 The treatment depends on the child's maturity 	after 1 v		 No improvement in the
		and ability to tolerate the procedure. Therefore	• Where there are concerns that		position of the root-
		diameter treatment entire mith the seconds.	• VVIIIele tilele ale collicellis tilat		frontinod tooth
		discuss treatment options with the parents.	an umavorable outcome is likely,		וומכותובת וססווו
		Each option is invasive and has the potential to	then continue clinical follow		
		cause long-term dental anxiety. Treatment is	up each year until eruption of		
		best performed by a child-oriented team with	permanent teeth		
		experience and expertise in the management	 Radiographic follow up only 		
		of pediatric dental injuries. Often no treatment	indicated where clinical findings		
		may be the most appropriate option in the	are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an		
		emergency scenario, but only when there is the	unfavorable outcome)		
		potential for rapid referral (within several days)	 Parents should be informed 		
		to the child-oriented team	to watch for any unfavorable		
		 Parent/patient education: 	outcomes and the need to return		
		- Exercise care when eating not to further	to the clinic as soon as possible.		
		traumatize the injured tooth while encouraging	Where unfavorable outcomes		
		a return to normal function as soon as possible	are identified, treatment is often		
		- To encourage gingival healing and prevent	required.		
		plaque accumulation, parents should clean	 The follow-up treatment, which 		
		the affected area with a soft brush or cotton	frequently requires the expertise		
		swab combined with an alcohol-free 0.1%-0.2%	of a child-oriented team, is		
		chlorhexidine gluconate mouth rinse applied	outside the scope of these		
		topically twice a day for 1 wk	guidelines		

 TABLE 6
 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Alveolar fractures

•					
	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcnecessarily all, of the following	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
Alveolar fracture	recommendations and findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	A periapical (size 0 sensor/ film paralleling technique)	Reposition (under local pagethesia) any displaced segment.	 Clinical examination after: 	Asymptomatic Dulp bealing with:	Symptomatic Signs of pulp perfects and
1	or occlusal radiograph (size	which is mobile and/or causing	- 1 wk - 4 wk for splint removal	- Normal crown	infection—such as:
	2 sensor/film) should be	occlusal interference	- 8 wk	color or transient	- Sinus tract, gingival
	taken at the time of initial	 Stabilize with a flexible splint to 	- 1y	red/gray or yellow	swelling, abscess, or
Clinical findings: The	presentation for diagnostic	the adjacent uninjured teeth for	 Further follow up at 6 y of 	discoloration and pulp	increased mobility
fracture involves the	purposes and to establish a	4 wk	age is indicated to monitor	canal obliteration	- Persistent dark gray
alveolar bone (labial	baseline	Ireatment should be performed Ireatment should be performed Ireatment should be performed Ireatment should be performed.	eruption of the permanent	- No signs of pulp	discoloration plus one
and palatal/lingual)	 A lateral radiograph may give information about the 	by a child-oriented team with experience and expertise in the	 teeth Radiographic follow up at 	necrosis and infection - Continued root	or more signs of root canal infection
and may extend to the	relationship between the	management of pediatric dental	4 w and 1 y to assess impact	development in	- Radiographic signs
adjacent bone • Mobility and	maxillary and mandibular	injuries	on the primary tooth and the	immature teeth	of pulp necrosis and
Indomity and distoration of the	dentitions and if the	 Parent/patient education: 	permanent tooth germs in the	 Periodontal healing 	infection including
ulsiocation of the	segment is displaced in a	 Exercise care when eating 	line of the alveolar fracture. This	 Realignment of the 	infection-related
segment with	labial direction	not to further traumatize the	radiograph may indicate a more	alveolar segment with	(inflammatory)
moving together are	 Fracture lines may be 	injured teeth while encouraging	frequent follow-up regimen is	the original occlusion	resorption
common findings	located at any level, from	a return to normal function as	needed. Other radiographs are	restored	 No further root
Occlusal	the marginal bone to the	soon as possible	indicated only where clinical	 No disturbance to the 	development in immature
ipterference is	root apex or beyond,	 To encourage gingival 	findings are suggestive of	development and/	teeth
listially present	and they may involve the	healing and prevent plaque	pathosis (eg, an unfavorable	or eruption of the	 Limited or no
asaany present	primary teeth and/or their	accumulation, parents should	outcome)	permanent successor	improvement in the
	permanent successors	clean the affected area with	 If the fracture line is located 		position of the displaced
	 Further imaging may be 	a soft brush or cotton swab	at the level of the primary root		segment and the
	needed to visualize the	combined with an alcohol-	apex, an abscess can develop. A		original occlusion is not
	extent of the fracture(s)	free 0.1%-0.2% chlorhexidine	periapical radiolucency can be		re-established
	but only where it is likely	gluconate mouth rinse applied	seen on the radiograph		 Negative impact on the
	to change the treatment	topically twice a day for 1 wk	 Parents should be informed 		development and/or
	provided.		to watch for any unfavorable		eruption of the permanent
			outcomes and the need to return		successor
			to the clinic as soon as possible.		
			Where unfavorable outcomes		
			are identified, treatment is often		
			required		
			 The follow-up treatment, which 		
			frequently requires the expertise		
			of a child-oriented team, is		
			outside the scope of these		
			guidelines		

TABLE 7 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Concussion

	Padiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcall, of the following	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
oncussion	ions	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
inical findings: The ooth is tender to ouch but it has not been displaced It has normal mobility and no sulcular bleeding	No baseline radiograph recommended	No treatment is needed. Observation Parent/patient education: Exercise care when eating not to further traumatize the injured tooth while encouraging a return to normal function as soon as possible To encourage gingival healing and prevent plaque accumulation, parents should clean the affected area with a soft brush or cotton swab combined with an alcoholfiree 0.1%-0.2% mouth rinse chlorhexidine gluconate applied	 Clinical examination after: 1 wk 6-8 wk Radiographic follow up only indicated where clinical findings are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an unfavorable outcome) Parents should be informed to watch for any unfavorable outcomes and the need to return to the clinic as soon as possible. Where unfavorable outcomes are identified, treatment is often required treatment is often required The follow-up treatment, which frequently requires the expertise of a child-oriented team, is outside the 	Asymptomatic Dulp healing with: Normal color of the crown or transient red/gray or yellow discoloration and pulp canal obliteration No signs of pulp necrosis and infection Continued root development in immature teeth No disturbance to the development and/or eruption of the permanent successor	Symptomatic Signs of pulp necrosis and infection—such as: Sinus tract, gingival swelling, abscess, or increased mobility Persistent dark gray discoloration plus one or more other signs of root canal infection Radiographic signs of pulp necrosis and infection No further root development of immature teeth Negative impact on the development and/or eruption of the permanent successor
		topically twice a day for 1 wk	scope of these guidelines		

TABLE 8 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Subluxation

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outc necessarily all, of the following	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
Subluxation	and findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	 A periapical (size 0 sensor/ film, paralleling 	 No treatment is needed. Observation Parent/patient education: 	 Clinical examination after: 1 wk 6-8 wk 	 Asymptomatic Pulp healing with: Normal color of the 	 Symptomatic Signs of pulp necrosis and infection—such as:
	technique) or occlusal radiograph	 Exercise care when eating not to further traumatize 	 Where there are concerns that an unfavorable outcome is likely, then continue 	crown or transient red/gray or yellow	 Sinus tract, gingival swelling, abscess, or
Clinical findings:	(size 2 sensor/film) should be taken	the injured teeth while encouraging a return to	clinical follow up each year until eruption of the permanent teeth	discoloration and pulp canal	increased mobility - Persistent dark gray
The tooth	at the time of	normal function as soon as	 Radiographic follow up only indicated where 	obliteration	discoloration plus one or
is tender to	initial presentation for diagnostic	possible - To encourage gingival	clinical findings are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an unfavorable outcome)	 No signs of pulp necrosis and 	more signs of root canal infection
has increased	purposes and to	healing. Parents should	 Parents should be informed to watch for 	infection	 Radiographic signs of pulp
mobility, but it	establish a baseline	clean the affected area with	any unfavorable outcomes and the need	Continued root development in	necrosis and infection No further root development
displaced	slightly widened	combined with an alcohol-	Where unfavorable outcomes are identified,	immature teeth	of immature teeth
 Bleeding from 	periodontal	free 0.1%-0.2% chlorhexidine	treatment is often required	 No disturbance to the 	 Negative impact on the
gingival crevice	ligament space will	gluconate mouth rinse	 The follow-up treatment, which frequently 	development and/	development and/or eruption
may be noted	be visible	applied topically twice a day	requires the expertise of a child-oriented	or eruption of the	of the permanent successor
		for 1 wk	team, is outside the scope of these guidelines	permanent successor	

TABLE 9 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Extrusive luxation

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcome not necessarily all, of the following:	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following:
Extrusive luxation	findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	 A periapical (size 0 	 Treatment decisions are based on 	 Clinical examination after: 	 Asymptomatic 	Symptomatic
	sensor/film, paralleling	the degree of displacement, mobility,	- 1 wk	 Pulp healing with: 	 Signs of pulp necrosis and
5	technique) or occlusal	interference with the occlusion, root	- 6-8 wk	 Normal color 	infection—such as:
	radiograph (size 2	formation, and the ability of the child to	-1y	of the crown or	 Sinus tract, gingival
	sensor/film) should be	tolerate the emergency situation	 Where there are concerns 	transient red/	swelling, abscess, or
	taken at the time of	 If the tooth is not interfering with the 	that an unfavorable outcome	gray or yellow	increased mobility
Clinical findings: Partial	initial presentation for	occlusion—let the tooth spontaneously	is likely, then continue clinical	discoloration	 Persistent dark gray
displacement of the	diagnostic purposes and	reposition itself	follow up each year until	and pulp canal	discoloration plus one or
tooth out of its socket	to establish a baseline	 If the tooth is excessively mobile or 	eruption of the permanent	obliteration	more signs of root canal
 The tooth appears 	 Slight increase to 	extruded > 3 mm, then extract under	teeth	 No signs of pulp 	infection
elongated and can be	substantially widened	local anesthesia	 Radiographic follow up only 	necrosis and	 Radiographic signs of pulp
excessively mobile.	periodontal ligament	 Treatment should be performed by a 	indicated where clinical	infection	necrosis and infection
 Occlusal interference 	space apically	child-oriented team with experience	findings are suggestive of	 Continued root 	 No further root
may be present		and expertise in the management of	pathosis (eg, an unfavorable	development in	development of immature
		pediatric dental injuries. Extractions	outcome)	immature teeth	teeth
		have the potential to cause long-term	 Parents should be informed 	 Realignment of the 	 No improvement in the
		dental anxiety	to watch for any unfavorable	extruded tooth	position of the extruded
		 Parent/patient education: 	outcomes and the need to	 No interference with 	tooth
		 Exercise care when eating not to 	return to the clinic as soon as	the occlusion	 Negative impact on the
		further traumatize the injured tooth	possible. Where unfavorable	 No disturbance to 	development and/or
		while encouraging a return to normal	outcomes are identified,	the development	eruption of the permanent
		function as soon as possible.	treatment is often required	and/or eruption	successor
		 To encourage gingival healing and 	 The follow-up treatment, 	of the permanent	
		prevent plaque accumulation, parents	which frequently requires the	successor	
		should clean the affected area with a	expertise of a child-oriented		
		soft brush or cotton swab combined	team, is outside the scope of		
		with an alcohol-free 0.1%-0.2%	these guidelines		
		chlorhexidine gluconate mouth rinse			
		applied topically twice a day for 1 wk			

TABLE 10 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Lateral luxation

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following	mes include some, but not
Lateral luxation	findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
	 A periapical (size 0 	 If there is minimal or no occlusal 	 Clinical examination after: 	 Asymptomatic 	Symptomatic
1840	sensor/film, paralleling	interference, the tooth should be	- 1 wk	 Pulp healing with: 	 Signs of pulp necrosis and
	technique) or occlusal	allowed to spontaneously reposition	- 6-8 wk	 Normal color of the crown 	infection—such as:
	radiograph (size 2	itself	- 6 mo	or transient red/gray or	 Sinus tract, gingival
۵	sensor/film) should be	 Spontaneous repositioning usually 	- 1y	yellow discoloration and	swelling, abscess, or
1	taken at the time of	occurs within 6 mo	 If repositioned and splinted, 	pulp canal obliteration	increased mobility
134	initial presentation for	 In situations of severe displacement, 	review after:	 No signs of pulp necrosis 	 Persistent dark gray
	diagnostic purposes and	two options are available, both of	- 1 wk	and infection	discoloration plus one
	to establish a baseline	which require local anesthesia:	 4 wk for splint removal 	 Continued root development 	or more signs of root
: - : (Increased periodontal 	Option A:	- 8 wk	in immature teeth	canal infection
Clinical findings:	ligament space apically	- Extraction when there is a risk of	- 6 mo	 Periodontal healing 	 Radiographic signs of pulp
The tooth is	(most clearly seen on	ingestion or aspiration of the tooth	- 1y	 Realignment of the laterally 	necrosis and infection
displaced, usually	an occlusal radiograph,	Option B:	 Where there are concerns 	luxated tooth	 Ankylosis
ın a palatal/lingual	especially if tooth is	 Gently reposition the tooth 	that an unfavorable outcome	 Normal occlusion 	 No further root
or labial direction	displaced labially)	 If unstable in its new position, 	is likely, then continue clinical	 No disturbance to the 	development of immature
Ihe tooth will be		splint for 4 wk using a flexible splint	follow up each year until	development and/or	teeth
immobile		attached to the adjacent uninjured	eruption of the permanent	eruption of the permanent	 No improvement in
Occlusal		teeth	teeth	successor	position of the laterally
interterence may		 Treatment should be performed by a 	 Radiographic follow up only 		luxated tooth
be present		child-oriented team with experience	indicated where clinical findings		 Negative impact on
		and expertise in the management of	are suggestive of pathosis (eg,		the development and/
		pediatric dental injuries. Extractions	an unfavorable outcome)		or eruption of the
		have the potential to cause long-term	 Parents should be informed 		permanent successor
		dental anxiety	to watch for any unfavorable		
		 Parent/patient education: 	outcomes and the need to		
		 Exercise care when eating not 	return to the clinic as soon as		
		to further traumatize the injured	possible. Where unfavorable		
		teeth while encouraging a return to	outcomes are identified,		
		normal function as soon as possible	treatment is often required		
		 To encourage gingival healing and 	 The follow-up treatment, 		
		prevent plaque accumulation,	which frequently requires the		
		parents should clean the affected	expertise of a child-oriented		
		area with a soft brush or cotton	team, is outside the scope of		
		swab combined with an alcohol-	these guidelines		
		free chlorhexidine gluconate 0.1%-			
		0.2% mouth rinse applied topically			
		twice a day for 1 wk			

TABLE 11 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Intrusive luxation

	Radiographic recommendations			Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following	rtcomes include some, but owing
Intrusive luxation	and findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
1	A periapical (size 0 sensor/	 The tooth should be allowed to 	 Clinical examination after: 	Asymptomatic	Symptomatic
	film, paralleling technique)	spontaneously reposition itself,	- 1 wk	 Pulp healing with: 	 Signs of pulp necrosis
	or occlusal radiograph (size 2	irrespective of the direction of	- 6-8 wk	- Normal color of the	and infection—such as:
	sensor/film) should be taken at	displacement	- 6 mo	crown or transient	 Sinus tract, gingival
	the time of initial presentation	 Spontaneous improvement in the 	- 1y	red/gray or yellow	swelling, abscess, or
	for diagnostic purposes and to	position of the intruded tooth	- Further follow up at 6 y of age	discoloration and pulp	increased mobility
	establish a baseline	usually occurs within 6 mo	is indicated for severe intrusion	canal obliteration	 Persistent dark gray
1000	 When the apex is displaced 	 In some cases, it can take up to 	to monitor eruption of the	 No signs of pulp 	discoloration with
	toward or through the labial	1 y	permanent tooth	necrosis and infection	one or more signs of
1	bone plate, the apical tip can	 A rapid referral (within a couple 	 Radiographic follow up only 	 Continued root 	infection
Clinical findings:	be seen and the image of	of days) to a child-oriented	indicated where clinical	development in	 Radiographic signs
The tooth is usually	the tooth will appear shorter	team that has experience and	findings are suggestive of	immature teeth	of pulp necrosis and
displaced through the	(foreshortened) than the	expertise in the management of	pathosis (eg, an unfavorable	 Periodontal healing 	infection
labial bone plate, or	contralateral tooth	pediatric dental injuries should	outcome)	 Re-eruption/realignment 	 No further root
it can impinge on the	 When the apex is displaced 	be arranged	 Parents should be informed 	of the intruded tooth	development of
permanent tooth bud	toward the permanent tooth	 Parent/patient education: 	to watch for any unfavorable	 No disturbance to the 	immature teeth
 The tooth has 	germ, the apical tip cannot be	- Exercise care with eating	outcomes and the need to	development and/	 Ankylosis
almost or completely	visualized and the image of the	not to further traumatize	return to the clinic as soon as	or eruption of the	 Negative impact on
disappeared into the	tooth will appear elongated	the injured tooth while	possible. Where unfavorable	permanent successor	the development and/
socket and can be		encouraging a return to	outcomes are identified,		or eruption of the
palpated labially		normal function as soon as	treatment is often required		permanent successor
		possible	 The follow-up treatment, 		
		 To encourage gingival 	which frequently requires the		
		healing and prevent plaque	expertise of a child-oriented		
		accumulation, parents should	team, is outside the scope of		
		clean the affected area with	these guidelines		
		a soft brush or cotton swab			
		combined with an alcohol-			
		free 0.1%-0.2% chlorhexidine			
		gluconate mouth rinse applied			
		topically twice a day for 1 wk			

TABLE 12 Treatment guidelines for primary teeth: Avulsion

	Radiographic			Favorable and unfavo some, but not necess	Favorable and unfavorable outcomes include some, but not necessarily all, of the following
Avulsion	findings	Treatment	Follow up	Favorable outcome	Unfavorable outcome
Clinical findings: The tooth is completely out of the socket • The location of the missing tooth should be explored during the trauma history and examination, especially when the accident was not witnessed by an adult or there was a loss of consciousness. • While avulsed teeth are most often lost out of the mouth, there is a risk that they can be embedded in soft tissues of the lip, cheek, or tongue, pushed into the nose, ingested or aspirated. • If the avulsed tooth is not found, the child should be referred for medical evaluation to an emergency room for further examination, especially where there	A periapical (size 0 sensor/ film, paralleling technique) or occlusal radiograph (size 2 sensor/film) is essential where the primary tooth is not brought into the clinic to ensure that the missing tooth has not been intruded tooth has not been intruded. The radiograph will also provide a baseline for assessment of the developing permanent tooth and to determine whether it has been displaced.	Avulsed primary teeth should not be replanted Parent/patient education: Exercise care when eating not to further traumatize the injured soft tissues To encourage gingival healing and prevent plaque accumulation, parents should clean the affected area with a soft brush or cotton swab combined with an alcohol-free 0.1%-0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate mouth rinse applied topically twice a day for 1 wk	Clinical examination after: - 6-8 wk - Further follow up at 6 y of age is indicated to monitor eruption of the permanent tooth Radiographic follow up only indicated where clinical findings are suggestive of pathosis (eg, an unfavorable outcome) Parents should be informed to watch for any unfavorable outcomes are tident fired, so on as possible. Where unfavorable outcomes are identified, treatment is often required The follow-up treatment, which frequently requires the expertise of a child-oriented team, is outside the scope of these guidelines	No signs of disturbance to development and/or eruption of the permanent successor	Negative impact on the development and/or eruption of the permanent successor

A summary of the management of TDIs in the primary dentition includes the following:

- A child's maturity and ability to cope with the emergency situation, the time for shedding of the injured tooth, and the occlusion are all important factors that influence treatment.
- It is critical that parents are given appropriate advice on how best to manage the acute symptoms to avoid further distress. ^{48,49} Luxation injuries, such as intrusion and lateral luxation, and root fractures may cause severe pain. The use of analgesics such as ibuprofen and/or acetaminophen (paracetamol) is recommended when pain is anticipated.
- Minimizing dental anxiety is essential. Provision of dental treatment depends on the child's maturity and ability to cope. Various behavioral approaches are available^{50–51} and have been shown to be effective for managing acute procedures in an emergency situation.^{52,53} TDIs and their treatment have the potential to lead to both post-traumatic stress disorder and dental anxiety. The development of these conditions in young children is a complex issue^{54,55} with little research specifically examining either condition following TDIs in the primary dentition. However, evidence from the wider dental literature suggests that the multi-factorial nature of dental anxiety, its fluctuating nature, and the role of dental extractions are exacerbating factors.^{56–58} Where possible, avoidance of dental extractions, especially at the acute or initial visit, is a reasonable strategy.
- Where appropriate and the child's cooperation allows, options that maintain the child's primary dentition should be the priority.⁵⁹ Discussions with parents about the different treatment options should include the potential for further treatment visits and consideration for how best to minimize the impact of the injury on the developing permanent dentition.⁶⁰
- For crown and crown-root fractures involving the pulp, root fractures, and luxation injuries, rapid referral within several days to a child-oriented team that has experience and expertise in the management of dental injuries in children is essential.
- Splinting is used for alveolar bone fractures^{40,61} and occasionally may be needed in cases of root fractures⁶² and lateral luxations.⁶²

1.10 | Avulsed primary teeth

An avulsed primary tooth should not be replanted. Reasons include a significant treatment burden (including replantation, splint placement and removal, root canal treatment) for a young child as well as the potential of causing further damage to the permanent tooth or to its eruption. 40,41,63,64 However, the most important reason is to avoid a medical emergency resulting from aspiration of the tooth. Careful follow up is required to monitor the development and eruption of the permanent tooth. Refer to the accompanying table () for specific quidance.

1.11 | Antibiotics and Tetanus

There is no evidence for recommending the use of systemic antibiotics in the management of luxation injuries in the primary dentition. However, antibiotic use does remain at the discretion of the clinician when TDIs are accompanied by soft tissue and other associated injuries or significant surgical intervention is required. Finally, the child's medical status may warrant antibiotic coverage. The child's pediatrician should be contacted where questions arise in these situations.

A tetanus booster may be required if environmental contamination of the injury has occurred. If in doubt, refer to a medical practitioner within 48 hours.

1.12 | Parental instructions for homecare

Successful healing following an injury to the teeth and oral tissues depends on good oral hygiene. To optimize healing, parents or caregivers should

be advised regarding care of the injured tooth/teeth and the prevention of further injury by supervising potentially hazardous activities. Clean the affected area with a soft brush or cotton swab and use alcohol-free chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% mouth rinse applied topically twice a day for one week to prevent accumulation of plaque and debris and to reduce the bacterial load. Care should be taken when eating not to further traumatize the injured teeth while encouraging a return to normal function as soon as possible.

Parents or caregivers should be advised about possible complications that may occur, such as swelling, increased mobility, or a sinus tract. Children may not complain about pain, but infection may be present. Parents or caregivers should watch for signs of infection such as swelling of the gums. If present, they should take the child to a dentist for treatment. Examples of unfavorable outcomes are found in the table for each injury (Tables 1-12).

1.13 | Training, skills, and experience for teams managing the follow-up

During the follow-up phase of treatment, dental teams caring for children with complex injuries to the primary dentition should have specialist training, experience, and skills. These attributes enable the members of the team to respond appropriately to the medical, physical, emotional, and developmental needs of children and their families. In addition, skills within the team should also encompass health promotion and access to specialist diagnostic and treatment services including sedation, general anesthesia, and overall pain management for the prevention or minimization of suffering.¹⁹

1.14 | Prognosis

Factors relating to the injury and subsequent treatment may influence pulp and periodontal outcomes, and they should be carefully recorded. These prognostic factors need to be carefully collected at both the initial consultation and follow-up visits. This is most likely achieved using the structured history form described previously. The dental literature and appropriate websites (eg, www.dentaltraumaguide.org) provide clinicians with useful information on the probable pulp and periodontal prognosis. These sources of information can be invaluable when having conversations with the parents or caregivers and the child.

1.15 | Core outcome set

The International Association for Dental Traumatology (IADT) recently developed a core outcome set (COS) for traumatic dental injuries (TDIs) in children and adults.⁶⁵ This is one of the first COS developed in dentistry and is underpinned by a systematic review of the outcomes used in the trauma literature and follows a robust consensus methodology.⁶⁶ Some outcomes were identified as recurring throughout the different injury types. These outcomes were then identified as "generic" (ie, relevant to all TDIs). Injury-specific outcomes were also determined as those outcomes related only to one or more individual TDIs. Additionally, the study established what, how, when, and by whom these outcomes should be measured. Table 1 in the General Introduction section⁶⁷ of the Guidelines shows the generic and injury-specific outcomes to be recorded at the follow-up review appointments recommended for the different traumatic injuries. Further information for each outcome is described in the original article.⁶⁵

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare there is no competing interest for the above manuscript. Images courtesy of the Dental Trauma Guide.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

No ethics approval was required for this paper

ORCID

REFERENCES

- Sleet DA. The global challenge of child injury prevention. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(9):1921.
- 2. Petersson EE, Andersson L, Sorensen S. Traumatic oral vs non-oral injuries. Swed Dent J. 1997;21:55–68.
- 3. Petti S, Glendor U, Andersson L. World traumatic dental injury prevalence and incidence, a meta-analysis-One billion living people have had traumatic dental injuries. Dent Traumatol. 2018;34:71–86.
- 4. Glendor U. Epidemiology of traumatic dental injuries a 12 year review of the literature. Dent Traumatol. 2008;24:603–11.
- 5. Andersson L, Petti S, Day P, Kenny K, Glendor U, Andreasen JO. Classification, epidemiology and etiology. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, editors. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen: Wiley Blackwell; 2019. p. 252–94.
- Glendor U, Halling A, Andersson L, Eilert-Petersson E. Incidence of traumatic tooth injuries in children and adolescents in the county of Vastmanland, Sweden. Swed Dent J. 1996;20:15–28.
- Andreasen JO, Ravn JJ. Epidemiology of traumatic dental injuries to primary and permanent teeth in a Danish population sample. Int J Oral Surg. 1972;1:235–9.
- 8. Borum MK, Andreasen JO. Sequelae of trauma to primary maxillary incisors. I. Complications in the primary dentition. Endod Dent Traumatol. 1998;14:31–44.
- 9. Kupietzky A, Holan G. Treatment of crown fractures with pulp exposure in primary incisors. Pediatr Dent. 2003;25:241–7.
- 10. Holan G, Ram D. Sequelae and prognosis of intruded primary incisors: a retrospective study. Pediatr Dent. 1999;21:242–7.
- 11. Assuncao LR, Ferelle A, Iwakura ML, Nascimento LS, Cunha RF. Luxation injuries in primary teeth: a retrospective study in children assisted at an emergency service. Braz Oral Res. 2011;25:150–6.
- 12. Qassem A, Martins NM, da Costa VP, Torriani DD, Pappen FG. Longterm clinical and radiographic follow up of subluxated and intruded maxillary primary anterior teeth. Dent Traumatol. 2015;31:57–61.
- Tannure PN, Fidalgo TK, Barcelos R, Primo LG, Maia LC. Analysis of root canal treated primary incisor after trauma: two year outcomes. J Clin Pediat Dent. 2012;36:257–62.
- 14. Cardoso M, Rocha MJ. Federal University of Santa Catarina follow-up management routine for traumatized primary teeth Part 1. Dent Traumatol. 2004;20:307–13.
- Soporowski NJ, Allred EN, Needleman HL. Luxation injuries of primary anterior teeth–prognosis and related correlates. Pediatr Dent. 1994;16: 96–101
- Andreasen JOAF, Bakland LK, Flores MT. Traumatic dental injuries, a manual, 3rd edn. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.
- Andreasen FM, Andreasen JO, Tsukiboshi M, Cohenca N. Examination and diagnosis of dental injuries. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, editors. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to

- the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: Wiley Blackwell; 2019. p. 295–326.
- Flores MT, Holan G, Andreasen JO, Lauridsen E. Injuries to the primary dentition. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, editors. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: Wiley Blackwell; 2019. p. 556–88.
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Ottawa on Child Health. 2009; https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-ottawa-on-child-health/. Accessed June 4, 2020.
- 20. Day PF, Duggal MS. A multicentre investigation into the role of structured histories for patients with tooth avulsion at their initial visit to a dental hospital. Dent Traumatol. 2003;19:243–7.
- Day PF, Duggal MS. The role for 'reminders' in dental traumatology: 1.
 Current practices in the UK and Ireland. Dent Traumatol. 2006;22:247–51.
- Andreasen JO. Appendix 1 and 2. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, eds. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: Wiley Blackwell, 2019; p. 1020–3.
- Andersson L, Andreasen JO. Soft tissue injuries. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, editors. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: Wiley Blackwell; 2019. p. 626–44.
- Soares TR, Barbosa AC, Oliveira SN, Oliveira EM, Risso Pde A, Maia LC. Prevalence of soft tissue injuries in pediatric patients and its relationship with the quest for treatment. Dent Traumatol. 2016;32:48–51.
- Lauridsen E, Blanche P, Amaloo C, Andreasen JO. The risk of healing complications in primary teeth with concussion or subluxation injury - a retrospective cohort study. Dent Traumatol. 2017;33:337–44.
- Lauridsen E, Blanche P, Yousaf N, Andreasen JO. The risk of healing complications in primary teeth with intrusive luxation: A retrospective cohort study. Dent Traumatol. 2017;33:329–36.
- Lauridsen E, Blanche P, Yousaf N, Andreasen JO. The risk of healing complications in primary teeth with extrusive or lateral luxation - A retrospective cohort study. Dent Traumatol. 2017;33:307–16.
- Auslander WP. Discoloration, a traumatic sequela. NY State Dent J. 1967;33:534–8.
- 29. Jacobsen I, Sangnes G. Traumatized primary anterior teeth. Prognosis related to calcific reactions in the pulp cavity. Acta Odontol Scand. 1978:36:199–204.
- Fried I, Erickson P, Schwartz S, Keenan K. Subluxation injuries of maxillary primary anterior teeth: epidemiology and prognosis of 207 traumatized teeth. Pediatr Dent. 1996;18:145–51.
- Holan G, Fuks AB. The diagnostic value of coronal dark-gray discoloration in primary teeth following traumatic injuries. Pediatr Dent. 1996; 18:224–7.
- 32. Holan G. Development of clinical and radiographic signs associated with dark discolored primary incisors following traumatic injuries: a prospective controlled study. Dent Traumatol. 2004;20:276–87.
- Holan G. Long-term effect of different treatment modalities for traumatized primary incisors presenting dark coronal discoloration with no other signs of injury. Dent Traumatol. 2006;22:14–7.
- 34. Law CS, Douglass JM, Farman AG, White SC, Zeller GG, Lurie AG, et al. The image gently in dentistry campaign: partnering with parents to promote the responsible use of x-rays in pediatric dentistry. Pediatr Dent. 2014;36:458–9.
- 35. White SC, Scarfe WC, Schulze RK, Lurie AG, Douglass JM, Farman AG. The Image Gently in Dentistry campaign: promotion of responsible use of maxillofacial radiology in dentistry for children. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2014;118:257–61.
- 36. Sodhi KS, Krishna S, Saxena AK, Sinha A, Khandelwal N, Lee EY. Clinical application of 'Justification' and 'Optimization' principle of ALARA in pediatric CT imaging: "How many children can be protected from unnecessary radiation?". Eur | Radiol. 2015;84:1752–7.

- 37. Andreasen JO, Flores MT, Lauridsen E. Injuries to developing teeth. In: Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM, Andersson L, editors. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth, 5th edn. Copenhagen, Denmark: Wiley Blackwell; 2019. p. 589–625.
- Andreasen JO, Ravn JJ. The effect of traumatic injuries to primary teeth on their permanent successors. II. A clinical and radiographic follow-up study of 213 teeth. Scand J Dent Res. 1971;79:284–94.
- Da Silva Assuncao LR, Ferelle A, Iwakura ML, Cunha RF. Effects on permanent teeth after luxation injuries to the primary predecessors: a study in children assisted at an emergency service. Dent Traumatol. 2009;25:165–70.
- 40. Flores MT, Onetto JE. How does orofacial trauma in children affect the developing dentition? Long-term treatment and associated complications. Dent Traumatol. 2019;35:312–23.
- 41. Lenzi MM, da Silva Fidalgo TK, Luiz RR, Maia LC. Trauma in primary teeth and its effect on the development of permanent successors: a controlled study. Acta Odontol Scand. 2018;22:1–6.
- 42. Lenzi MM, Alexandria AK, Ferreira DM, Maia LC. Does trauma in the primary dentition cause sequelae in permanent successors? A systematic review. Dent Traumatol. 2015;31:79–88.
- 43. Altun C, Cehreli ZC, Güven G, Acikel C. Traumatic intrusion of primary teeth and its effects on the permanent successors: a clinical follow-up study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009;107: 493–8.
- 44. Spinas E, Melis A, Savasta A. Therapeutic approach to intrusive luxation injuries in primary dentition. A clinical follow-up study. Eur J Paed Dent. 2006;7:179–86.
- Colak I, Markovic D, Petrovic B, Peric T, Milenkovic A. A retrospective study of intrusive injuries in primary dentition. Dent Traumatol. 2009; 25:605–10.
- 46. Flores MT. Traumatic injuries in the primary dentition. Dent Traumatol. 2002;18:287–98.
- 47. Cunha RF, Pugliesi DM, Percinoto C. Treatment of traumatized primary teeth: a conservative approach. Dent Traumatol. 2007;23:360–3.
- Martens LC, Rajasekharan S, Jacquet W, Vandenbulcke JD, Van Acker JWG, Cauwels RGEC. Paediatric dental emergencies: a retrospective study and a proposal for definition and guidelines including pain management. Eur Arch Paediat Dent. 2018;19:245–53.
- Whiston C, Ali S, Wright B, Wonnacott D, Stang AS, Thompson GC, et al. Is caregiver refusal of analgesics a barrier to pediatric emergency pain management? A cross-sectional study in two Canadian centres. CJEM. 2018;20:892–902.
- Roberts JF, Curzon ME, Koch G, Martens LC. Review: behaviour management techniques in paediatric dentistry. Eur Arch Paediat Dent. 2010; 11:166–74.
- 51. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Behaviour guidance for the pediatric dental patient. Pediatr Dent. 2015;40:254–67.
- 52. Ali S, McGrath T, Drendel AL. An evidence-based approach to minimizing acute procedural pain in the emergency department and beyond. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2016;32:36–42.

- 53. Pancekauskaite G, Jankauskaite L. Paediatric pain medicine: pain differences, recognition and coping acute procedural pain in paediatric emergency room. Medicina. 2018;54(6):94.
- 54. De Young AC, Kenardy JA, Cobham VE. Trauma in early childhood: a neglected population. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2011;14:231–50.
- 55. Stoddard FJ Jr. Outcomes of traumatic exposure. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2014;23:243–56.
- 56. Tickle M, Jones C, Buchannan K, Milsom KM, Blinkhorn AS, Humphris GM. A prospective study of dental anxiety in a cohort of children followed from 5 to 9 years of age. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2009;19:225–32.
- 57. Milsom KM, Tickle M, Humphris GM, Blinkhorn AS. The relationship between anxiety and dental treatment experience in 5-yearold children. Br Dent J. 2003;194:503–6.
- 58. Soares FC, Lima RA, de Barros MVG, Dahllöf G, Colares V. Development of dental anxiety in schoolchildren: a 2-year prospective study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2017;45:281–8.
- 59. Holan G, Needleman HL. Premature loss of primary anterior teeth due to trauma–potential short- and long-term sequelae. Dent Traumatol. 2014:30:100–6.
- 60. Holan G, Topf J, Fuks AB. Effect of root canal infection and treatment of traumatized primary incisors on their permanent successors. Dent Traumatol. 1992;8:12–5.
- Akin A, Uysal S, Cehreli ZC. Segmental alveolar process fracture involving primary incisors: treatment and 24-month follow up. Dent Traumatol. 2011;27:63–6.
- Cho WC, Nam OH, Kim MS, Lee HS, Choi SC. A retrospective study of traumatic dental injuries in primary dentition: treatment outcomes of splinting. Acta Odontol Scand. 2018;76:253–6.
- Tewari N, Mathur VP, Singh N, Singh S, Pandey RK. Long-term effects of traumatic dental injuries of primary dentition on permanent successors: a retrospective study of 596 teeth. Dent Traumatol. 2018;34: 129–34.
- 64. de Amorim LF, da Costa LR, Estrela C. Retrospective study of traumatic dental injuries in primary teeth in a Brazilian specialized pediatric practice. Dent Traumatol. 2011;27:368–73.
- 65. Kenny KP, Day PF, Sharif MO, Parashos P, Lauridsen E, Feldens CA. What are the important outcomes in traumatic dental injuries? An international approach to the development of a core outcome set. Dent Traumatol. 2018;34:4–11.
- 66. Sharif MO, Tejani-Sharif A, Kenny K, Day PF. A systematic review of outcome measures used in clinical trials of treatment interventions following traumatic dental injuries. Dent Traumatol. 2015;31:422–8.
- 67. Levin L, Day PF, Hicks L, O'Connell AC, Fouad AF, Bourguigon C, et al. International Association of Dental Traumatology guidelines for the management of traumatic dental injuries: General Introduction. Dent Traumatol. 2020;36:309–13.