Guideline on the Role of Dental Prophylaxis in Pediatric Dentistry

Originating Committee

Clinical Affairs Committee

Review Council

Council on Clinical Affairs

Adopted

1986

Revised

1993, 2000, 2003, 2007

Reaffirmed

1996

Purpose

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD), as an advocate for optimal oral health of infants, children, and adolescents, must educate caregivers and other interested third parties on the indications for and benefits of a dental prophylaxis in conjunction with a periodic oral health assessment.

Methods

This guideline is based on a review of current preventive, restorative, and periodontal literature, as well as AAPD's Policy Statement on the Use of a Caries-risk Assessment Tool (CAT) for Infants, Children, and Adolescents¹ and the American Academy of Periodontics' (AAP) Periodontal Diseases in Children and Adolescents.² A MEDLINE search was conducted using the terms "dental prophylaxis", "toothbrushing", "professional tooth cleaning", and "professional dental prophylaxis in children".

Background

Microbial plaque is the primary etiological factor in caries and periodontal disease.^{3,4} Although it may be possible to remove most plaque using mechanical oral hygiene aids, many patients do not have the motivation or skill to maintain a plaque-free state for extended periods of time.⁵ Clinical studies show that "self-administered plaque control programs alone, without periodic professional reinforcement, are inconsistent in providing long-term inhibition of gingivitis".⁵

Indications for a professional dental prophylaxis include:

- 1. removal of plaque, stain, and calculus;6
- 2. elimination of factors that influence the build-up and retention of plaque;⁷⁻⁹
- 3. demonstration of proper oral hygiene methods to the patient/caregiver;
- 4. facilitation of a thorough clinical examination;
- 5. introduction of dental procedures to the child.

The type and frequency of professional prophylaxis recommended is based on an individual patient's risk-assessment

for caries and periodontal disease. The AAPD has developed a tool¹ to determine caries risk and the AAP has guidelines² to address periodontal risk. These assessments may include:

- medical history/current systemic health including medications;
- 2. age and cooperation of the patient;
- 3. compliance of the patient and family;
- 4. past and current caries;
- 5. family history of caries;
- 6. past and current periodontal health;
- 7. family history of periodontal disease;
- 8. oral hygiene;
- 9. presence of plaque;
- 10. presence of gingivitis;
- 11. presence of calculus;
- 12. presence of extrinsic stain;
- 13. local factors that would influence the build-up and retention of plaque.

A professional prophylaxis can be performed using toothbrush, rubber cup, flossing, and/or mechanical instruments. In the absence of stain or calculus, a manual toothbrush and non-abrasive paste may fulfill the goals of a professional prophylaxis. Rubber cup prophylaxis, with paste grit as fine as possible, is indicated for the removal of extrinsic staining and smoothing of rough enamel surfaces following scaling.⁶ A practitioner diagnosing localized stain and/or calculus may elect to polish only selected teeth rather than the full erupted dentition. The benefits of various prophylaxis options are shown in Table 1.

Rubber cup prophylaxis using pastes or pumice may be performed prior to the application of a professional fluoride treatment. The use of abrasive toothpastes and whitening products, as well as abrasion during a prophylaxis, can remove the acquired pellicle. This can have an adverse effect on exposed tooth surfaces by increasing the chances of enamel loss through exposure to dietary acids. Furthermore, even though

Table 1. BENEFITS OF PROPHYLAXIS OPTIONS						
	Plaque removal	Stain	Calculus	Polish/smooth	Education of patient/parent	Facilitate Examination
Toothbrush	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Power brush	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Rubber cup	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hand instruments	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

the pellicle begins forming immediately after it is removed, it may take up to 7 days, possibly longer, to mature fully and offer maximal protection against dietary acid challenges.¹⁰

Rubber cup prophylaxis with pumice paste can remove up to 0.6–4.0 microns of the outer enamel¹¹⁻¹⁴ which includes the fluoride-rich layer. This is dependent on the speed of the handpiece, abrasivity of the paste, and the amount of time spent cleaning the tooth.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Researchers have concluded that a pumice prophylaxis followed by a topical fluoride application results in "similar" levels of fluoride uptake as a topical fluoride application without a prophylaxis.^{15,16}

Recommendations

A periodic professional prophylaxis should be performed to:

- 1. instruct the caregiver and child or adolescent in proper oral hygiene techniques;
- 2. remove microbial plaque and calculus;
- 3. polish hard surfaces to minimize the accumulation and retention of plaque;
- 4. remove extrinsic stain;
- 5. facilitate the examination of hard and soft tissues;
- 6. introduce dental procedures to the young child and apprehensive patient.

In addition to establishing the need for a prophylaxis, the clinician should determine the most appropriate type of prophylaxis for each patient. The practitioner should select the least aggressive technique that fulfills the goals of the procedure. To minimize loss of the fluoride-rich layer of enamel during polishing, the least abrasive paste should be used with light pressure. If a rubber cup/pumice prophylaxis is performed, a topical fluoride application is recommended.¹⁷

A patient's risk for caries/periodontal disease, as determined by the patient's dental provider, should help determine the interval of the prophylaxis. Patients who exhibit higher risk for developing caries and/or periodontal disease should have recall visits at intervals more frequent than every 6 months. This allows increased professional fluoride therapy application, microbial monitoring, antimicrobial therapy reapplication, and reevaluating behavioral changes for effectiveness. ¹⁸ An individualized preventive plan increases the probability of good oral health by demonstrating proper oral hygiene methods and techniques and removing plaque, stain, calculus⁶, and the factors that influence their build-up.⁷⁻⁹

References

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Policy on use of a caries-risk assessment tool (CAT) for infants, children, and adolescents. Pediatr Dent 2006;28(suppl):24-8.

- 2. Califano JV, Research Science and Therapy Committee American Academy of Periodontology. Periodontal diseases of children and adolescents. J Periodontol 2003;74 (11):1690-704.
- 3. Stookey GK. Current status of caries prevention. Compendium 2000;21(10A):862-7.
- 4. Clerehugh V, Tugnait A. Periodontal diseases in children and adolescents: 1. Aetiology and diagnosis. Dent Update 2001;28(5):222-30, 232.
- 5. American Academy of Periodontology Research Science and Therapy Committee. Treatment of plaque-induced gingivitis, chronic periodontitis, and other clinical conditions. J Periodontol 2001;72(12):1790-800.
- 6. Clerehugh V, Tugnait A. Periodontal diseases in children and adolescents: 2. Management. Dent Update 2001;28(6):274-81.
- 7. Roulet JF, Roulet-Mehrens TK. The surface roughness of restorative materials and dental tissues after polishing with prophylaxis and polishing pastes. J Periodontol 1982;53:257-66.
- 8. Hosoya Y, Johnston JW. Evaluation of various cleaning and polishing methods on primary enamel. J Pedod 1989;13 (3):253-69.
- 9. Quirynen M, Bollen CML. The influence of surface roughness and surface-free energy on supra- and subgingival plaque formation in man. A review of the literature. J Clin Periodontol 1995;22(1):1-14.
- 10. Zero DT. Dental caries process. Dent Clin North Am 1999; 43(4):635-64.
- 11. Stookey GK. In vitro estimates of enamel and dentin abrasion associated with a prophylaxis. J Dent Res 1978;57(1):36.
- 12. Vrbic V, Brudevold F, McCann HG. Acquisition of fluoride by enamel from fluoride pumice pastes. Helv Odontol Acta 1967;11(1):21-6.
- 13. Koch G, Petersson LG, Johnson G. Abrasive effect and fluorine uptake from polishing and prophylactic pastes. Swed Dent J 1975;68(1):1-7.
- 14. Mellberg JR. The relative abrasivity of dental prophylactic pastes and abrasives on enamel and dentin. Clin Prev Dent 1979;1(1):13-8.
- 15. Joyston-Bechal S, Duckworth R, Braden M. The effect of artificially produced pellicle and plaque on the uptake of 18F by human enamel in vitro. Arch Oral Biol 1979;21(2):73-8.
- 16. Tinanoff N, Wei SHY, Parkins FM. Effect of a pumice prophylaxis on fluoride uptake in tooth enamel. J Am Dent Assoc 1974;88(2):384-9.
- 17. Adair SM. Evidence-based use of fluoride in contemporary pediatric dental practice. Pediatr Dent 2006;28(2):133-42.
- 18. US Preventive Services Task Force. Guide to Clinical Preventive Services. 2nd ed. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins; 1996.