# Guideline on Prescribing Dental Radiographs for Infants, Children, Adolescents, and Persons with Special Health Care Needs

## **Originating Committee**

Ad Hoc Committee on Pedodontic Radiology

## **Review Council**

Council on Clinical Affairs

# Adopted

1981

# **Revised** 1992, 1995, 2001, 2005, 2009

**Reaffirmed** 1997, 2012

## Purpose

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) intends this guideline to help practitioners make clinical decisions concerning appropriate selection of dental radiographs as part of an oral evaluation of infants, children, adolescents, and persons with special health care needs. The guideline can be used to optimize patient care, minimize radiation burden, and allocate health care resources responsibly.

#### Methods

The American Dental Association (ADA) initiated a review of "The Selection of Patients for X-ray Examinations: Dental Radiographic Examinations"<sup>1</sup> in 2002. The AAPD, along with other dental specialty organizations, participated in the review and revision of these guidelines. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) accepted them in November 2004.<sup>2</sup> This review included a new systematic literature search of the MEDLINE/ PubMed<sup>®</sup> electronic database using the terms: dental radiology, dental radiographs, dental radiography, cone beam computed tomography AND guidelines, recommendations; fields: all; limits: within the last 10 years, humans, and English. In 2006, the ADA Council on Scientific Affairs published an update to their recommendations for dental radiographs.<sup>3</sup> The AAPD continues to endorse the ADA/FDA's recommendations.

## Background

Radiographs are valuable aids in the oral health care of infants, children, adolescents, and persons with special health care needs. They are used to diagnose oral diseases and to monitor dentofacial development and the progress of therapy. The recommendations in the ADA/FDA guidelines were developed to serve as an adjunct to the dentist's professional judgment. The timing of the initial radiographic examination should not be based upon the patient's age, but upon each child's individual circumstances. Because each patient is unique, the need for dental radiographs can be determined only after reviewing the patient's medical and dental histories, completing a clinical examination, and assessing the patient's vulnerability to environmental factors that affect oral health.

Radiographs should be taken only when there is an expectation that the diagnostic yield will affect patient care. The AAPD recognizes that there may be clinical circumstances for which a radiograph is indicated, but a diagnostic image cannot be obtained. For example, the patient may be unable to cooperate or the dentist may have privileges in a health care facility lacking intraoral radiographic capabilities. If radiographs of diagnostic quality are unobtainable, the dentist should confer with the parent to determine appropriate management techniques (e.g., preventive/restorative interventions, advanced behavior guidance modalities, deferral, referral), giving consideration to the relative risks and benefits of the various treatment options for the patient.

Because the effects of radiation exposure accumulate over time, every effort must be made to minimize the patient's exposure. Good radiological practices (e.g., use of lead apron, thyroid collars, and high-speed film; beam collimation) are important. The dentist must weigh the benefits of obtaining radiographs against the patient's risk of radiation exposure.

New imaging technologies [i.e., cone beam computed tomography (CBCT)] have added three-dimensional capabilities that have many applications in dentistry. Evidence-based guidelines and policies currently are under development by organizations such as the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology (AAOMR).<sup>4</sup> The usefulness and future of CBCT

	Patient Age and Dental Developmental Stage				
Type of Encounter	<b>Child with Primary</b> <b>Dentition</b> (prior to eruption of first permanent tooth)	Child with Transitional Dentition (after eruption of first permanent tooth)	Adolescent with Permanent Dentition (prior to eruption of third molars)	Adult, Dentate or Partially Edentulous	Adult, Edentulous
New patient* oeing evaluated for dental diseases and dental development	Individualized radiographic exam consisting of selected periapical/occlusal views and/or posterior bitewings if proximal surfaces cannot be visualized or probed. Patients without evidence of disease and with open proximal contacts may not require a radiographic exam at this time.	Individualized radiographic exam consisting of posterior bitewings with panoramic exam or posterior bitewings and selected periapical images.	Individualized radiographic exam consisting of posterior bitewings with panoramic exam or posterior bitewings and selected periapical images. A full mouth intraoral radiographic exam is preferred when the patient has clinical evidence of generalized dental disease or a history of extensive dental treatment.		Individualized radiographic exam, based on clinical signs and symptoms.
Recall patient* with linical caries or at ncreased risk for caries**	Posterior bitewing exam at 6-12 month intervals if proximal surfaces cannot be examined visually or with a probe.			Posterior bitewing exam at 6-18 month intervals.	Not applicable
Recall patient* with no linical caries and not at ncreased risk for caries**	Posterior bitewing exam at 12-24 month intervals if proximal surfaces cannot be examined visually or with a probe.		Posterior bitewing exam at 18-36 month intervals.	Posterior bitewing exam at 24-36 month intervals.	Not applicable
Recall patient* with periodontal disease	Clinical judgment as to the need for and type of radiographic images for the evalua disease. Imaging may consist of, but is not limited to, selected bitewing and/or per areas where periodontal disease (other than nonspecific gingivitis) can be identified			apical images of	Not applicable
Patient for monitoring of growth and development	Clinical judgment as to need for and type of radiographic images for evaluation and/or monitoring of dentofacial growth and development. Clinical judgment as to need for and type of radiographic images for evaluation and/or monitoring of dentofacial growth and development. Panoramic or periapical exam to assess developing third molars		Usually not indicated		
Patient with other ircumstances including, but not limited to, proposed or existing mplants, pathology, estorative/endodontic ueeds, treated periodontal lisease and caries emineralization	Clinical judgment as to need	l for and type of radiographi	c images for evaluation and/o	r monitoring in these circur	nstances.
Clinical situations for which radiographs may       9. Clinically suspected sin         be indicated include but are not limited to:       10. Growth abnormalities         . Positive Historical Findings       11. Oral involvement in kr         . Previous periodontal or endodontic treatment       ystemic disease         12. Positive neurologic findings       12. Positive neurologic findings				<ul> <li>** Factors increasing risk for caries may include but are not limited to:</li> <li>1. High level of caries experience or demineraliz</li> <li>2. History of recurrent caries</li> <li>3. High titers of cariogenic bacteria</li> </ul>	

- 2. History of pain or trauma
- 3. Familial history of dental anomalies
- 4. Postoperative evaluation of healing
- 5. Remineralization monitoring
- 6. Presence of implants or evaluation for implant placement

#### B. Positive Clinical Signs/Symptoms

- 1. Clinical evidence of periodontal disease
- 2. Large or deep restorations
- 3. Deep carious lesions
- 4. Malposed or clinically impacted teeth
- 5. Swelling
- 6. Evidence of dental/facial trauma
- 7. Mobility of teeth 8. Sinus tract ("fistula")

- 12. Positive neurologic findings in the head and neck
- 13. Evidence of foreign objects
- 14. Pain and/or dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint
- 15. Facial asymmetry
- 16. Abutment teeth for fixed or removable partial prosthesis
- 17. Unexplained bleeding
- 18. Unexplained sensitivity of teeth
- 19. Unusual eruption, spacing or migration of teeth
- 20. Unusual tooth morphology, calcification or color
- 21. Unexplained absence of teeth
- 22. Clinical erosion

- 3. High titers of cariogenic bacteria
- 4. Existing restoration(s) of poor quality
- 5. Poor oral hygiene
- 6. Inadequate fluoride exposure
- 7. Prolonged nursing (bottle or breast)
- 8. Frequent high sucrose content in diet
- 9. Poor family dental health
- 10. Developmental or acquired enamel defects
- 11. Developmental or acquired disability
- 12. Xerostomia
- 13. Genetic abnormality of teeth
- 14. Many multisurface restorations
- 15. Chemo/radiation therapy
- 16. Eating disorders
- 17. Drug/alcohol abuse
- 18. Irregular dental care

\* From: American Dental Association, US Food & Drug Administration. The Selection of Patients for Dental Radiograph Examinations. Available at: "http://www.ada.org/sections/advocacy/pdfs/topics\_radiography\_examinations(1).pdf".<sup>2</sup>

have been reviewed with an introduction to issues related to criteria, ramifications, and medico-legal considerations.<sup>5</sup> Certain principles clearly are emerging and point to the need for standards of provisions of care. Because this technology has potential to produce vast amounts of data and imaging information beyond initial intentions, it is important to interpret all information obtained, including that which may be beyond the immediate diagnostic needs of the practitioner.

#### Recommendations

The recommendations of the ADA/FDA guidelines are contained within the accompanying table. "The recommendations in this chart are subject to clinical judgment and may not apply to every patient. They are to be used by dentists only after reviewing the patient's health history and completing a clinical examination. Because every precaution should be taken to minimize radiation exposure, protective thyroid collars and aprons should be used whenever possible. This practice is strongly recommended for children, women of childbearing age, and pregnant women."<sup>2</sup>

Although standards are not officially developed for the use of CBCT, this advance in orofacial dental imaging is an excellent adjunct for improvements in dental care. The executive opinion statement of the AAOMR provides initial guidance for the use of this technology.<sup>4</sup> Their recommendations relate to the need for practices of qualified individuals to use this technology with selection criteria which include clear indications that minimize radiation exposure while maximizing diagnostic information obtained. When using CBCT, the resulting imaging is required to be supplemented with a written report placed in the patient's records that includes full interpretation of the findings.

#### References

- Joseph LP. The Selection of Patients for X-ray Examinations: Dental Radiographic Examinations. Rockville, Md: The Dental Radiographic Patient Selection Criteria Panel, U.S. Dept of Health and Humans Services, Center for Devices and Radiological Health; 1987. HHS Publication No. FDA 88-8273.
- American Dental Association, U.S. Dept of Health and Humans Services. The selection of patients for dental radiographic examinations—2004. Available at: "http:// www.ada.org/sections/advocacy/pdfs/topics\_radiography\_examinations(1).pdf". Accessed September 28, 2016.
- 3. American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. The use of dental radiographs: Update and recommendations. J Am Dent Assoc 2006;137(9):1304-12.
- 4. Carter L, Farman AG, Geist J, et al. American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology executive opinion statement on performing and interpreting diagnostic cone beam computed tomography. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106(4):561-2.
- 5. Farman AG, Scarfe WC, Haskell BS. Cone beam computed tomography. Seminars in Orthodontics 2009;15 (1):1-77.