

Guideline on Pediatric Oral Surgery

Originating Council

Council on Clinical Affairs

Adopted

2005

Revised

2010

Purpose

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) intends this guideline to define, describe clinical presentation, and set forth general criteria and therapeutic goals for common pediatric oral surgery procedures that have been presented in considerably more detail in textbooks and the dental/medical literature.

Methods

This guideline is an update of the previous document adopted in 2005. It is based on a review of the current dental and medical literature related to pediatric oral surgery, including a systematic literature search of the MEDLINE/Pubmed electronic database with the following parameters: Terms: “pediatric”, “oral surgery”, “extraction”, “odontogenic infections”, “impacted canines”, “third molars”, “supernumerary teeth”, “mesiodens”, “mucocele”, “eruption cyst”, “eruption hematoma”, “attached frenum”, “ankyloglossia”, “gingival keratin cysts”, “Epstein pearls”, “Bohn’s nodules”, “congenital epulis of newborn”, “dental lamina cysts”, “natal teeth”, and “neonatal teeth” Fields: all fields; Limits: within the last 10 years; humans; English; clinical trials. There were 7761 articles that matched these criteria. Papers for review were chosen from this list and from references with selected articles. When data did not appear sufficient or were inconclusive, recommendations were based upon expert and/or consensus opinion by experience researchers and clinicians. In addition, the manual *Parameters of Care: Clinical Practice Guidelines for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*,¹ developed by the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS), was consulted.

Background

Surgery performed on pediatric patients involves a number of special considerations unique to this population. Several critical issues deserve to be addressed. These include:

1. preoperative evaluation;
 - a. medical,
 - b. dental.
2. behavioral considerations;
3. growth and development;
4. developing dentition;

5. pathology;
6. perioperative care.

Preoperative evaluation

Medical

Important considerations in treating a pediatric patient include obtaining a thorough medical history, obtaining appropriate medical and dental consultations, anticipating and preventing emergency situations, and being prepared to treat emergency situations.²

Dental

It is important to perform a thorough clinical and radiographic preoperative evaluation of the dentition as well as extraoral and intraoral soft tissues.²⁻⁴ Radiographs can include intraoral films and extraoral imaging if the area of interest extends beyond the dentoalveolar complex.

Behavioral considerations

Behavioral guidance of children in the operative and perioperative periods presents a special challenge. Many children benefit from modalities beyond local anesthesia and nitrous oxide/oxygen inhalation to control their anxiety.^{2,5} Management of children under sedation or general anesthesia requires extensive training and expertise.^{2,6} Special attention should be given to the assessment of the social, emotional, and psychological status of the pediatric patient prior to surgery.⁷ Children have many unvoiced fears concerning the surgical experience, and their psychological management requires that the dentist be cognizant of their emotional status. Answering questions concerning the surgery is important and should be done in the presence of the parent. The dentist also should obtain informed consent⁸ prior to the procedure.

Growth and development

The potential for adverse effects on growth from injuries and/or surgery in the oral and maxillofacial region markedly increases the potential for risks and complications in the pediatric population. Traumatic injuries involving the maxillofacial region can affect growth, development, and function adversely. For example, injuries to the mandibular condyle may not only

result in restricted growth, but also limit mandibular function as a result of ankylosis. Surgery for acquired, congenital, or developmental malformations may, in itself, affect growth adversely. This commonly is seen in the cleft patient, for example, where palatal scarring following primary palatal repair may result in maxillary constriction.²

Developing dentition

Surgery involving the maxilla and mandible of young patients is complicated by the presence of developing tooth follicles. Alteration or deviation from standard treatment modalities may be necessary to avoid injuring the follicles.⁹ To minimize the negative effects of surgery on the developing dentition, careful planning using radiographs, tomography¹⁰, cone beam computed tomography¹¹, and/or 3-D imaging techniques is necessary to provide valuable information to assess the presence, absence, location, and/or quality of individual crown and root development.⁹

Pathology

Primary and reconstructive management of tumors in children is affected by anatomical and physiological differences from those of adult patients. Tumors generally grow faster in pediatric patients and are less predictable in behavior. The same physiological factors that affect tumor growth, however, can play a favorable role in healing following primary reconstructive surgery. Pediatric patients are more resilient and heal more rapidly than their adult counterparts.²

Perioperative care

Metabolic management of children following surgery frequently is more complex than that of adults. Special consideration should be given to caloric intake, fluid and electrolyte management, and blood replacement. Comprehensive management of the pediatric patient following extensive oral and maxillofacial surgery usually is best accomplished in a facility that has the expertise and experience in the management of young patients (ie, a children's hospital).^{2,3}

Recommendations

Odontogenic infections

In children, odontogenic infections may involve more than 1 tooth and usually are due to carious lesions, periodontal problems, or a history of trauma.^{12,13} Untreated odontogenic infections can lead to pain, abscess, and cellulitis. As a consequence of this, children are prone to dehydration—especially if they are not eating well due to pain and malaise. Prompt treatment of the source of infection is important in order to control pain and prevent the spread of infection.

With infections of the upper portion of the face, patients usually complain of facial pain, fever, and inability to eat or drink. Care must be taken to rule out sinusitis, as symptoms may mimic an odontogenic infection. Occasionally in upper face infections, it may be difficult to find the true cause. Infections of the lower face usually involve pain, swelling, and

trismus.¹² They frequently are associated with teeth, skin, local lymph nodes, and salivary glands.¹² Swelling of the lower face more commonly has been associated with dental infection.¹⁴

Most odontogenic infections can be managed with pulp therapy, extraction, or incision and drainage.² Infections of odontogenic origin with systemic manifestations (eg, elevated temperature of 102° to 104°F, facial cellulitis, difficulty in breathing or swallowing, fatigue, nausea) require antibiotic therapy. Severe but rare complications of odontogenic infections include cavernous sinus thrombosis and Ludwig's angina.^{2,12} These conditions can be life threatening and may require immediate hospitalization with intravenous antibiotics, incision and drainage, and referral/consultation with an oral and maxillofacial surgeon.^{2,12}

Extraction of erupted teeth

Maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth

Most primary and permanent maxillary and mandibular central incisors, lateral incisors, and canines have conical single roots. In most cases, extraction of anterior teeth is accomplished with a rotational movement, due to their single root anatomies.² However, there have been reported cases of accessory roots observed in primary canines.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Radiographic examination is helpful to identify differences in root anatomy prior to extraction.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Care should be taken to avoid placing any force on adjacent teeth that could become luxated or dislodged easily due to their root anatomy.

Maxillary and mandibular molars

Primary molars have roots that are smaller in diameter and more divergent than permanent molars. Root fracture in primary molars is not uncommon due to these characteristics as well as the potential weakening of the roots caused by the eruption of their permanent successors.² To avoid inadvertent extraction or dislocation of or trauma to the permanent successor, careful evaluation of the relationship of the primary roots to the developing succedaneous tooth should be completed. Primary molars with roots encircling the successor's crown may need to be sectioned to protect the permanent tooth's location.²

Molar extractions are accomplished by using slow continuous palatal/lingual and buccal force allowing for the expansion of the alveolar bone to accommodate the divergent roots and reduce the risk of root fracture.² When extracting mandibular molars, care should be taken to support the mandible to protect the temporomandibular joints from injury.²

Fractured primary tooth roots

The dilemma to consider when treating a fractured primary tooth root is that removing the root tip may cause damage to the succedaneous tooth, while leaving the root tip may increase the chance for postoperative infection and delay eruption of the permanent successor.² Radiographs can assist in the decision process. The literature suggests that if the fractured root tip can be removed easily, it should be removed.² If the

root tip is very small, located deep in the socket, situated in close proximity to the permanent successor, or unable to be retrieved after several attempts, it is best left to be resorbed.²

Unerupted and impacted teeth

Impacted canines

Permanent maxillary canines are second to third molars in frequency of impaction.¹⁸ Early detection of an ectopically erupting canine through visual inspection, palpation, and radiographic examination is important to minimize such an occurrence.¹⁹ Panoramic and periapical films are useful in locating potentially ectopic canines.²⁰ Routine evaluation of patients in mid-mixed dentition should involve identifying signs such as lack of canine bulges and asymmetry in pattern of exfoliation. Eruption of canines and abnormal angulation or ectopic eruption of developing permanent cuspids can be detected with a radiograph.¹⁹ When the cusp tip of the permanent canine is just mesial to or overlaying the distal half of the long axis of the root of the permanent lateral incisor, canine palatal impaction usually occurs.²⁰ Extraction of the primary canines is the treatment of choice when malformation or ankylosis is present, when the risk of resorption of the adjacent tooth is evident, or when trying to correct palatally impacted canines, provided there are normal space conditions and no incisor resorption.^{18,21-23} One study showed that 78% of ectopically-erupting permanent canines normalized within 12 months after removal of the primary canines; 64% normalized when the starting canine position overlapped the lateral incisor by more than half of the root and 91% normalized when the starting canine position overlapped the lateral incisor by less than half of the root.¹⁸ If no improvement in canine position occurs in a year, surgical and/or orthodontic treatment were suggested.^{18,23} Although a Cochrane review²¹ yielded a lack of randomized controlled clinical studies to support extraction of primary canines to facilitate eruption of ectopic permanent maxillary canines, the literature suggests that this can be considered to minimize complications resulting from impacted canines. Consultation between the practitioner and an orthodontist may be useful in the final treatment decision.

Third molars

Panoramic or periapical radiographic examination is indicated in late adolescence to assess the presence, position, and development of third molars.⁴ AAOMS recommends that a decision to remove or retain third molars should be made before the middle of the third decade.¹ Little controversy surrounds their removal when pathology (eg, cysts or tumors, caries, infection, pericoronitis, periodontal disease, detrimental changes of adjacent teeth or bone) is associated and/or the tooth is malpositioned or nonfunctional (ie, an unopposed tooth).²⁴⁻²⁶ A systematic review of research literature from 1984 to 1999 concluded there is no reliable evidence to support the prophylactic removal of disease-free impacted third molars.²⁴ Although prophylactic removal of all impacted or unerupted

disease-free third molars is not indicated, consideration should be given to removal by the third decade when there is a high probability of disease or pathology and/or the risks associated with early removal are less than the risks of later removal.²⁴⁻²⁶ Removing the third molars prior to complete root formation may be surgically prudent.¹ AAOMS performed an age-related third molar study among board-certified oral and maxillofacial surgeons in 2001 and concluded that third molar removal in adults is safe with minimal complications and negative effects on the patient's quality of life.²⁵ The report showed that mandibular third molars exhibited more pathology or abnormalities. All intraoperative complications (eg, nerve injury, unexpected hemorrhage, unplanned transfusion or parenteral drugs, compromised airway, fracture, other injuries to adjacent teeth/structures) occurred at a frequency less than 1%.²⁵ Excluding alveolar osteitis, postoperative complications (eg, paresthesia, infection, trismus, hemorrhage) were similarly low.^{25,26} Factors that increase the risk for complications (eg, coexisting systemic conditions, location of peripheral nerves, history of temporomandibular joint disease, presence of cysts or tumors)^{25,26} and position and inclination of the molar in question²⁷ should be assessed. The age of the patient is only a secondary consideration.²⁷ Referral to an oral and maxillofacial surgeon for consultation and subsequent treatment may be indicated. When a decision is made to retain impacted third molars, they should be monitored for change in position and/or development of pathology, which may necessitate later removal.

Supernumerary teeth

Supernumerary teeth and hyperdontia are terms to describe an excess in tooth number. Supernumerary teeth are thought to be related to disturbances in the initiation and proliferation stages of dental development.^{15,28} Although some supernumerary teeth may be syndrome associated (eg, cleidocranial dysplasia) or of familial inheritance pattern, most supernumerary teeth occur as isolated events.¹⁵

Supernumerary teeth can occur in either the primary or permanent dentition.^{15,29-31} In 33% of the cases, a supernumerary tooth in the primary dentition is followed by the supernumerary tooth complement in the permanent dentition.^{32,33} Reports in incidence of supernumerary teeth can be as high as 3%, with the permanent dentition being affected 5 times more frequently than the primary dentition and males being affected twice as frequently as females.^{15,29,30}

Supernumerary teeth will occur 10 times more often in the maxillary arch versus the mandibular arch.¹⁵ Approximately 90% of all single tooth supernumerary teeth are found in the maxillary arch, with a strong predilection to the anterior region.^{15,31} The maxillary anterior midline is the most common site, in which case the supernumerary tooth is known as a mesiodens; the second most common site is the maxillary molar area, with the tooth known as a paramolar.^{15,29,31} A mesiodens can be suspected if there is an asymmetric eruption pattern of the maxillary incisors, delayed eruption of the

maxillary incisors with or without any over-retained primary incisors, or ectopic eruption of a maxillary incisor.^{29,33} The diagnosis of a mesiodens can be confirmed with radiographs, including occlusal, periapical, or panoramic films,³⁴ or computed tomography^{10,11}. Three-dimensional information needed to determine the location of the mesiodens or impacted tooth can be obtained by taking 2 periapical radiographs using either 2 projections taken at right angles to one another or the tube shift technique (buccal object rule or Clark's rule)³⁴ or by cone beam computed tomography¹¹.

Complications of supernumerary teeth can include delayed and/or lack of eruption of the permanent tooth, crowding, resorption of adjacent teeth, dentigerous cyst formation, pericoronal space ossification, and crown resorption.^{35,36} Early diagnosis and appropriately timed treatment are important in the prevention and avoidance of these complications.

Because only 25% of all mesiodens erupt spontaneously, surgical management often is necessary.^{33,37} A mesiodens that is conical in shape and is not inverted has a better chance for eruption than a mesiodens that is tubercular in shape and is inverted.³⁶ The treatment objective for a nonerupting permanent mesiodens is to minimize eruption problems for the permanent incisors.³⁶ Surgical management will vary depending on the size, shape, and number of supernumeraries and the patient's dental development.³⁶ The treatment objective for a nonerupting primary mesiodens differs in that the removal of these teeth usually is not recommended, as the surgical intervention may disrupt or damage the underlying developing permanent teeth.³⁵ Erupted primary tooth mesiodens typically are left to shed normally upon the eruption of the permanent dentition.³⁵

Extraction of an unerupted primary or permanent mesiodens is recommended during the mixed dentition to allow the normal eruptive force of the permanent incisor to bring itself into the oral cavity.³⁶ Waiting until the adjacent incisors have at least two-thirds root development will present less risk to the developing teeth but still allow spontaneous eruption of the incisors.¹ In 75% of the cases, extraction of the mesiodens during the mixed dentition results in spontaneous eruption and alignment of the adjacent teeth.^{35,38} If the adjacent teeth do not erupt within 6 to 12 months, surgical exposure and orthodontic treatment may be necessary to aid their eruption.^{37,39} The diagnosing dentist may consider a multidisciplinary approach when treating difficult or complex cases.

Pediatric oral pathology

Lesions of the newborn

Oral pathologies occurring in newborn children include Epstein's pearls, dental lamina cysts, Bohn's nodules, and congenital epulis. Epstein's pearls are common, found in about 75% to 80% of newborns.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ They occur in the median palatal raphe area⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ as a result of trapped epithelial remnants along the line of fusion of the palatal halves.^{42,44} Dental lamina cysts, found on the crests of the dental ridges, most commonly are seen bilaterally in the region of the first primary molars.⁴²

They result from remnants of the dental lamina. Bohn's nodules are remnants of salivary gland epithelium and usually are found on the buccal and lingual aspects of the ridge, away from the midline.^{40,41,43} Epstein's pearls, Bohn's nodules, and dental lamina cysts typically present as asymptomatic 1 mm to 3 mm nodules or papules. They are smooth, whitish in appearance, and filled with keratin.^{41,42} No treatment is required, as these cysts usually disappear during the first 3 months of life.^{41,44}

Congenital epulis of the newborn, also known as granular cell tumor or Neumann's tumor, is a rare benign tumor seen only in newborns. This lesion is typically a protuberant mass arising from the gingival mucosa. It is most often found on the anterior maxillary ridge.^{45,46} Patients typically present with feeding and/or respiratory problems.⁴⁶ Congenital epulis has a marked predilection for females at 8:1 to 10:1.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Treatment normally consists of surgical excision.^{45,47} The newborn usually heals well, and no future complications or treatment should be expected.

Eruption cyst (eruption hematoma)

The eruption cyst is a soft tissue cyst that results from a separation of the dental follicle from the crown of an erupting tooth.^{41,48} Fluid accumulation occurs within this created follicular space.^{40,43,48,49} Eruption cysts most commonly are found in the mandibular molar region.⁴⁸ Color of these lesions can range from normal to blue-black or brown, depending on the amount of blood in the cystic fluid.^{40,43,48,49} The blood is secondary to trauma. If trauma is intense, these blood-filled lesions sometimes are referred to as eruption hematomas.^{40,43,48,49}

Because the tooth erupts through the lesion, no treatment is necessary.^{40,43,48,49} If the cyst does not rupture spontaneously or the lesion becomes infected, the roof of the cyst may be opened surgically.^{40,43,48}

Mucocele

The mucocele is a common lesion in children and adolescents resulting from the rupture of a minor salivary gland excretory duct, with subsequent leakage of mucin into the surrounding connective tissues that later may be surrounded in a fibrous capsule.^{41,43,50-52} Most mucoceles are well-circumscribed bluish translucent fluctuant swellings (although deeper and long-standing lesions may range from normal in color to having a whitish keratinized surface) that are firm to palpation.^{43,50,52} Local mechanical trauma to the minor salivary gland is often the cause of rupture.^{43,50-52} Mucoceles most frequently are observed on the lower lip, usually lateral to the midline.⁵⁰ Mucoceles also can be found on the buccal mucosa, ventral surface of the tongue, retromolar region, and floor of the mouth (ranula).⁵⁰⁻⁵²

Superficial mucoceles and some other mucoceles are short-lived lesions that burst spontaneously, leaving shallow ulcers that heal within a few days.^{43,50-52} Many lesions, however, require treatment to minimize the risk of recurrence.^{43,50-52}

Structural anomalies

Maxillary frenum

A prominent maxillary frenum in children, although a common finding, is often a concern, especially when associated with a diastema. A comparison of attached frena with and without diastemas found no correlation between the height of the frenum attachment and diastema presence and width.⁵³ Treatment is suggested when the attachment exerts a traumatic force on the gingiva causing the papilla to blanch when the upper lip is pulled or if it causes a diastema to remain after eruption of the permanent canines.^{54,55} Interference with oral hygiene measures, esthetics, and psychological reasons are contributing factors that relate to treatment of the maxillary frenum.^{54,56} Treatment options can include orthodontics, restorative dentistry, surgery, or a combination of these.⁵⁴ When a diastema is present, the objectives for treatment involve managing both the diastemas or permanent teeth and its cause while maintaining stable results in the future.⁵⁴ It is recommended that treatment be delayed until the permanent incisors and cuspids have erupted and the diastema has had an opportunity to close naturally.⁵⁵ If orthodontic treatment is indicated, the frenectomy [complete excision (ie, removal of the whole frenulum)]⁵⁷ should be performed only after the diastema is closed as much as possible to achieve stable results.⁵⁴ When indicated, a maxillary frenectomy is a fairly simple procedure and can be performed in the office setting.

Mandibular labial frenum

A high frenum sometimes can present on the labial aspect of the mandibular ridge. This is most often seen in the central incisor area and frequently occurs in individuals where the vestibule is shallow.⁵⁸ The mandibular anterior frenum, as it is known, occasionally inserts into the free or marginal gingival tissue.⁵⁸ Movements of the lower lip cause the frenum to pull on the fibers inserting into the free marginal tissue, which, in turn, can lead to food and plaque accumulation.⁵⁸ Early treatment can be considered to prevent subsequent inflammation, recession, pocket formation, and possible loss of the alveolar bone and/or tooth.⁵⁸ However, if factors causing gingival/periodontal inflammation are controlled, the degree of recession and need for treatment decreases.⁵⁷

Mandibular lingual frenum/ankyloglossia

Ankyloglossia is a developmental anomaly of the tongue characterized by a short, thick lingual frenum resulting in limitation of tongue movement (partial ankyloglossia) or by the tongue appearing to be fused to the floor of the mouth (total ankyloglossia).^{44,58} The reported prevalence is 0.1-10.7% of the population.⁵⁷ The exact cause of ankyloglossia remains unknown.⁵⁷

Ankyloglossia has been associated with problems with breastfeeding among neonates,⁵⁷⁻⁶¹ tongue mobility and speech,^{54,57,62} malocclusion,^{57,63,64} and gingival recession.⁵⁷ During breastfeeding, a short frenum can cause ineffective latch, inadequate milk transfer and intake, and persistent mater-

nal nipple pain, all of which can affect feeding adversely.⁵⁷⁻⁶¹ When indicated, frenuloplasty (various methods to release the tongue tie and correct the anatomic situation⁵⁷) or frenectomy (simple cutting of the frenulum⁵⁷) may be a successful approach to facilitate breastfeeding; however, there is a need for evidence-based research to determine indications for treatment.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ This indicates that there is a need to standardize a classification system and justify parameters for surgical correction of ankyloglossia among neonates.⁵⁷⁻⁶³

Limitations in tongue mobility and speech pathology have been associated with ankyloglossia.^{54,57,62} There has been varied opinion among health care professionals regarding the correlation between ankyloglossia and speech disorders.^{57,62} Frenuloplasty or frenectomy in conjunction with speech therapy can be a treatment option to improve tongue mobility and speech.⁶² Further evidence is needed to determine the benefit of surgical correction of ankyloglossia in resolving speech pathology.⁵⁷

There is limited evidence to show an association between ankyloglossia and Class III malocclusion.^{57,64} Speculations have been made that the abnormal tongue position may affect skeletal development.^{57,63,64} Although there are no clear recommendations in the literature, a complete orthodontic evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment plan are necessary prior to any surgical intervention.⁵⁷

Reports also have been made regarding the association between frenal attachment and gingival recession; further clinical evidence, however, is warranted to show a clear relationship between these 2 factors.⁵⁷ Elimination of plaque-induced gingival inflammation can minimize gingival recession without any surgical intervention.⁵⁷

The significance and management of ankyloglossia are very controversial due to the lack of evidence-based studies to support frenotomy, frenectomy, and frenuloplasty among children and adults affected by ankyloglossia.^{57,62} Studies have shown a difference in treatment recommendations among speech pathologists, pediatricians, otolaryngologists, lactation specialists, surgeons, and dental specialists.^{57-63,65} Most professionals, however, will agree that there are certain indications for these procedures.⁶³ A short lingual frenum can inhibit tongue movement and create deglutition problems.⁶⁵ If there is no improvement in breastfeeding for a child with ankyloglossia after non-surgical intervention, frenectomy may be indicated.⁵⁷ Although there is limited evidence in the literature to promote the timing, indication, and type of surgical intervention, frenectomy for functional limitations due to severe ankyloglossia should be considered on an individual basis.⁵⁷ If evaluation shows that function may be improved by surgery, treatment should be considered.⁶⁵

Frenectomy techniques

Frenectomy involves surgical incision, establishing hemostasis, and suturing of the wound.⁶⁶ Dressing placement or the use of antibiotics is not necessary.⁶⁶ Recommendations include maintaining a soft diet, regular oral hygiene, and analgesics as needed.⁶⁶ Although there is minimal evidence-based research

available, the use of laser technology and electrosurgery for frenectomies have demonstrated a shorter operative working time, the ability to control bleeding quickly, reduced pain and discomfort, fewer postoperative complications (eg, pain, swelling, infection), and no need for suture removal, as well as increasing patient acceptance.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹ These procedures require skilled technique and patient management.^{66,69}

Natal and neonatal teeth

Natal and neonatal teeth can present a challenge when deciding on appropriate treatment. Natal teeth have been defined as those teeth present at birth, and neonatal teeth are those that erupt during the first 30 days of life.^{70,71} The occurrence of natal and neonatal teeth is rare; the incidence varies from 1:1,000 to 1:30,000.^{70,71} The teeth most often affected are the mandibular primary incisors.⁷² In most cases, anterior natal and neonatal teeth are part of the normal complement of the dentition.^{70,71} Natal or neonatal molars have been identified in the posterior region and may be associated with systemic conditions or syndromes (eg, Pfeiffer syndrome, histiocytosis X).⁷²⁻⁷⁴ Although many theories exist as to why the teeth erupt prematurely, currently no studies confirm a causal relationship with any of the proposed theories. The superficial position of the tooth germ associated with a hereditary factor seems to be the most accepted possibility.⁷¹

If the tooth is not excessively mobile or causing feeding problems, it should be preserved and maintained in a healthy condition if at all possible.^{71,75} Close monitoring is indicated to ensure that the tooth remains stable.

Riga-Fede disease is a condition caused by the natal or neonatal tooth rubbing the ventral surface of the tongue during feeding leading to ulceration.^{69,70} Failure to diagnose and properly treat this lesion can result in dehydration and inadequate nutrient intake for the infant.⁷⁵ Treatment should be conservative and focus on creating round, smooth incisal edges.⁷¹⁻⁷⁶ If conservative treatment does not correct the condition, extraction is the treatment of choice.^{71,76}

An important consideration when deciding to extract a natal or neonatal tooth is the potential for hemorrhage. Extraction is contraindicated in newborns due to risk of hemorrhage.⁷⁷ Unless the child is at least 10 days old, consultation with the pediatrician regarding adequate hemostasis may be indicated prior to extraction of the tooth.

References

1. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. Parameters of Care: Clinical Practice Guidelines for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (AAOMS ParCare 07 Ver 4.0). *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;Suppl.
2. Wilson S, Montgomery RD. Local anesthesia and oral surgery in children. In: Pinkham JR, Casamassimo PS, Fields HW Jr, McTigue DJ, Nowak AJ, eds. *Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy through Adolescence*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005:454, 461.
3. Kaban L, Troulis M. Preoperative Assessment of the Pediatric Patient. In: *Pediatric Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004:3-19.
4. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on prescribing dental radiographs for infants, children, adolescents, and persons with special health care needs. *Pediatr Dent* 2009;31(special issue):250-2.
5. Kaban L, Troulis M. Behavior management and conscious sedation of pediatric patients in the oral surgery office. In: *Pediatric Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004:75-85.
6. Kaban L, Troulis M. Deep sedation for pediatric patients. In: *Pediatric Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004:86-99.
7. McDonald RE, Avery DR, Dean JA. Examination of the mouth and other relevant structures. In: Dean JA, Avery DR, McDonald RE, eds. *McDonald and Avery's Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent*. 9th ed. Maryland Heights, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2011:3.
8. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on informed consent. *Pediatr Dent* 2009;31(special issue): 247-9.
9. Murray DJ, Chong DK, Sandor GK, Forrest CR. Dentigerous cyst after distraction osteogenesis of the mandible. *J Craniofac Surg* 2007;18(16):1349-52.
10. White S, Pharoah M, Frederiksen NL. Advanced Imaging. In: White S, Pharoah M, eds. *Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretation*. 6th ed. St Louis, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2009:207-24.
11. Scarfe WC, Farman AG. Cone Beam Computed Tomography. In: White S, Pharoah M, eds. *Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretation*. 6th ed. St Louis, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2009:225-43.
12. Kaban L, Troulis M. Infections of the maxillofacial region. In: *Pediatric Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004:171-86.
13. Seow W. Diagnosis and management of unusual dental abscesses in children. *Aust Dent J* 2003;43(3):156-68.
14. Dodson T, Perrott D, Kaban L. Pediatric maxillofacial infections: A retrospective study of 113 patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1989;47(4):327-30.
15. Regezi J, Sciubba J, Jordan R. Abnormalities of teeth. In: *Oral Pathology: Clinical-Pathologic Correlations*, 5th ed. St Louis, MO: Saunders Elsevier; 2008:361-76.
16. Mochizuki K, Ohtawa Y, Kubo S, Machida Y, Yakushiji M. Bifurcation, birooted primary canines: A case report. *Int J Pediatr Dent* 2001;11(5):380-5.
17. Ott N, Ball R. Birooted primary canines: A report of three cases. *Pediatr Dent* 1996;18(4):328-30.
18. Ericson S, Kurol J. Early treatment of palatally erupting maxillary canines by extraction of the primary canines. *Eur J Orthod* 1988;10(4):283-95.
19. Richardson G, Russel K. A review of impacted permanent maxillary cuspids – Diagnosis and prevention. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2000;66(9):497-501.

20. Lindauer SJ, Rubenstein LK, Hang WM, Andersen WC, Isaason RJ. Canine impaction identified early with panoramic radiographs. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1992;123(3):91-2, 95-7. Erratum in *J Am Dent Assoc* 1992;123(5):16.
21. Parkin N, Benson P, Shah A, et al. Extraction of primary (baby) teeth for unerupted palatally displaced permanent canine teeth in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;15(2):CD004621.
22. Fernandez E, Bravo LA, Canteras M. Eruption of the permanent upper canines: A radiologic study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1998;113(4):414-20.
23. Baccetti T, Leonardi M, Armi P. A randomized clinical study of two interceptive approaches to palatally displaced canines. *Eur J Orthod* 2008;30(4):381-5.
24. Song F, O'Meara S, Wilson P, Goldner S, Kleijnen J. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of prophylactic removal of wisdom teeth. *Health Technol Assess* 2000;4(1):1-55.
25. Haug R, Perrott D, Gonzalez M, Talwar R. The American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons age-related third molar study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;63(8):1106-14.
26. Pogrel M, Dodson T, Swift J, et al. White paper on third molar data. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. March 2007. Available at: "http://www.aaoms.org/docs/third_molar_white_paper.pdf". Accessed June 24, 2010.
27. Almendros-Marques N, Alaejos-Algarra E, Quinteros-Borgarello M, Berini-Aytes L, Gay-Escoda C. Factors influencing the prophylactic removal of asymptomatic impacted lower third molars. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;37(1):29-35.
28. Proffit WR. The etiology of orthodontic problems. In: Proffit WR, Fields HW Jr, Sarver DM, eds. *Contemporary Orthodontics*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2007:138.
29. Primosch R. Anterior supernumerary teeth—Assessment and surgical intervention in children. *Pediatr Dent* 1981;3(2):204-15.
30. Dummett CO Jr. Anomalies of the developing dentition. In: Pinkham JR, Casamassimo PS, Fields HW Jr, McTigue DJ, Nowak AJ, eds. *Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy through Adolescence*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005:61-2.
31. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE. Abnormalities of the teeth. In: *Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*. 3rd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2009:80.
32. Taylor GS. Characteristics of supernumerary teeth in the primary and permanent dentition. *Trans Br Soc Study Orthod* 1970-71;57:123-8.
33. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on the management of the developing dentition and occlusion in pediatric dentistry. *Pediatr Dent* 2009;31(special issue):196-208.
34. White S, Pharoah M. Projection geometry. In: *Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretation*. 6th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2009:46-52.
35. Neville BW, Damm DD, White DK. Pathology of the teeth. In: *Color Atlas of Clinical Oral Pathology*. 2nd ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 2003:58-60.
36. Christensen JR, Fields HW Jr. Treatment planning and management of orthodontic problems. In: Pinkham JR, Casamassimo PS, Fields HW Jr, McTigue DJ, Nowak AJ, eds. *Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy through Adolescence*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005:624-6.
37. Russell K, Folwarczna M. Mesiodens: Diagnosis and management of a common supernumerary tooth. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2003;69(6):362-6.
38. Howard R. The unerupted incisor. A study of the post-operative eruptive history of incisors delayed in their eruption by supernumerary teeth. *Dent Pract Dent Rec* 1967;17(9):332-41.
39. Giancotti A, Grazzini F, De Dominicis F, Romanini G, Arcuri C. Multidisciplinary evaluation and clinical management of mesiodens. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2002;26(3):233-7.
40. Slayton R, Hughes-Brickhouse T, Adair S. Dental development, morphology, eruption and related pathologies. In: Nowak AJ, Casamassimo PS, eds. *The Handbook: Pediatric Dentistry*. 3rd ed. Chicago, Ill: American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry; 2007:9-28.
41. Flaitz CM. Differential diagnosis of oral lesions and developmental anomalies. In: Pinkham JR, Casamassimo PS, Fields HW Jr, McTigue DJ, Nowak AJ, eds. *Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy through Adolescence*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005:18.
42. Hays P. Hamartomas, eruption cysts, natal tooth, and Epstein pearls in a newborn. *J Dent Child* 2000;67(5):365-8.
43. Aldred MJ, Cameron AC. Pediatric oral medicine and pathology. In: Cameron AC, Widmer RP, eds. *Handbook of Pediatric Dentistry*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Mosby Elsevier; 2008:192-216.
44. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE. Developmental defects of the oral and maxillofacial region. In: *Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*. 3rd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2009:25-7.
45. Lapid O, Shaco-Levey R, Krieger Y, Kachko L, Sagi A. Congenital epulis. *Pediatrics* 2001;107(2):E22.
46. Marakoglu I, Gursoy U, Marakoglu K. Congenital epulis: Report of a case. *J Dent Child* 2002;69(2):191-2.
47. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE. Soft tissue tumors. In: *Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*. 3rd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2009: 537-8.
48. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE. Odontogenic cysts and tumors. In: *Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*. 3rd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2009: 682.

49. Regezi J, Sciubba J, Jordan R. Cysts of the oral region. In: *Oral Pathology: Clinical-Pathologic Correlations*. 5th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2008:241-4.
50. Baumash HD. Mucocoeles and ranulas. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;61(3):369-78.
51. Regezi J, Sciubba J, Jordan R. Salivary gland diseases. In: *Oral Pathology: Clinical-Pathologic Correlations*. 5th ed. St Louis, Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2008:179-82.
52. Sonis A, Keels MA. Oral pathology/oral medicine/syndromes. In: Nowak AJ, Casamassimo PS, eds. *The Handbook: Pediatric Dentistry*. 3rd ed. Chicago, Ill: American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry; 2007:29-53.
53. Ceremello P. The superior labial frenum and midline diastema and their relation to growth and development of the oral structures. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1993;39(2):120-39.
54. Gkantidis N, Kolokitha OE, Topouzelis N. Management of maxillary midline diastema with emphasis on etiology. *J Clin Ped Dent* 2008;32(4):265-72.
55. Griffen AL. Periodontal problems in children and adolescents. In: Pinkham JR, Casamassimo PS, Fields HW Jr, McTigue DJ, Nowak AJ, eds. *Pediatric Dentistry: Infancy through Adolescence*. 4th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier Saunders; 2005:417.
56. McDonald RE, Avery DR, Hartsfield JK. Acquired and developmental disturbances of the teeth. In: Dean JA, Avery DR, McDonald RE, eds. *McDonald and Avery's Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent*. 9th ed. Maryland Heights, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2011:119-20.
57. Suter VG, Bornstein MM. Ankyloglossia: Facts and myths in diagnosis and treatment. *J Periodontol* 2009;80(8):1204-19.
58. McDonald RE, Avery DR, Weddell JA. Gingivitis and periodontal disease. In: Dean JA, Avery DR, McDonald RE, eds. *McDonald and Avery's Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent*. 9th ed. Maryland Heights, Mo: Mosby Elsevier; 2011:389-91.
59. Segal L, Stephenson R, Dawes M, Feldman P. Prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment of ankyloglossia. *Can Fam Physician* 2007;53(6):1027-33.
60. Ballard J, Auer C, Khoury J. Ankyloglossia: Assessment, incidence, and effect of frenuloplasty on the breastfeeding dyad. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(5):e63.
61. Geddes D, Langton D, Gollow I, Jacobs L, Hartmann P, Simmer K. Frenulotomy for breastfeeding infants with ankyloglossia: Effect on milk removal and sucking mechanism as imaged by ultrasound. *Pediatrics* 2008;122(1):e188-e194.
62. Kupietzky A, Botzer E. Ankyloglossia in the infant and young child: Clinical suggestions for diagnosis and management. *Pediatr Dent* 2005;27(1):40-6.
63. Lalakea L, Messner A. Frenotomy and frenuloplasty: If, when and how. *Oper Tech Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2002;13(1):93-7.
64. Neville BW, Damm DD, White DK. *Developmental disturbances of the oral and maxillofacial region*. *Color Atlas of Clinical Oral Pathology*. 2nd ed. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 2003:10-1.
65. Lalakea M, Messner A. Ankyloglossia: Does it matter? *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2003;50(2):381-97.
66. Kaban L, Troulis M. Intraoral soft tissue abnormalities. In: *Pediatric Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004:147-53.
67. Shetty K, Trajtenberg C, Patel C, Streckfus C. Maxillary frenectomy using a carbon dioxide laser in a pediatric patient: A case report. *Gen Dent* 2008;56(1):60-3.
68. Kara C. Evaluation of patient perceptions of frenectomy: A comparison of Nd:YAG laser and conventional techniques. *Photomed Laser Surg* 2008;26(2):147-52.
69. Gontijo I, Navarro R, Haypek P, Ciamponi A, Haddad A. The applications of diode and Er:YAG lasers in labial frenectomy in infant patients. *J Dent Child* 2005;72(1):10-5.
70. Cunha RF, Boer FA, Torriani DD, Frossard WT. Natal and neonatal teeth: Review of the literature. *Pediatr Dent* 2001;23(2):158-62.
71. Leung A, Robson W. Natal teeth: A review. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2006;98(2):226-8.
72. Galassi MS, Santos-Pinto L, Ramalho T. Natal maxillary primary molars: Case report. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2004;29(1):41-44.
73. Alvarez MP, Crespi PV, Shanske AL. Natal molars in Pfeiffer syndrome type 3: A case report. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 1993;18(1):21-4.
74. Stein S, Paller A, Haut P, Mancini A. Langerhans cell histiocytosis presenting in the neonatal period: A retrospective case series. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2001;155(7):778-83.
75. Slayton RL. Treatment alternatives for sublingual traumatic ulceration (Riga-Fede disease). *Pediatr Dent* 2000;22(5):413-4.
76. Goho C. Neonatal sublingual traumatic ulceration (Riga-Fede disease): Report of cases. *J Dent Child* 1996;63(5):362-4.
77. Rushmah M. Natal and neonatal teeth: A clinical and histological study. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 1991;15(4):251-3.