Policy on Prevention of Sports-related Orofacial Injuries

2 **Review Council** 3 Council on Clinical Affairs 4 Revised 5 2018 6 7 8 Purpose 9 The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) recognizes the prevalence of sports-related 10 orofacial injuries in our nation's youth and the need for prevention. This policy is intended to educate 11 dental professionals, health care providers, and educational and athletic personnel on the prevention of 12 sports-related orofacial injuries. 13 Methods 14 This policy was originally developed by the Clinical Affairs Committee and adopted in 1991. This 15 16 document is a revision of the previous version, revised in 2010-2018. The revision of this policy is based 17 upon a review of current dental and medical literature related to orofacial injuries, including their 18 prevention. Database searches were performed using the terms: sports injuries, injury prevention, dental 19 injuries, orofacial injuries. Seventy citations were chosen from this method and from references within 20 selected articles. When data did not appear sufficient or were inconclusive, recommendations were based 21 upon expert and/or consensus opinion by experienced researchers and clinicians. The policies, 22 recommendations, and listed references of the Academy for Sports Dentistry (ASD) and the International 23 Association of Dental Traumatology (IADT) were consulted as valuable resources in preparation of this 24 document. 25 Background 26 27 The tremendous popularity of organized youth sports and the high level of competitiveness have resulted 28 in a significant number of dental and facial injuries (Castaldi 1986, Castaldi 1988. From 1990-2003, there 29 was an average of 22,000 dental injuries annually in children <18 years of age. This is approximately 31.6 30 dental injuries per 100,000 children and adolescents<sup>1</sup>. Over the past decade, approximately 46 million

youths in the United States were involved in "some form of sports" <sup>2</sup>. It is estimated that 30 million

children in the U.S. participate in organized sport programs <sup>3</sup> All sporting activities have an associated

33 risk of orofacial injuries due to falls, collisions, contact with hard surfaces, and contact from sportsrelated equipment. A systemic review reported between 10-61% of athletes reported experiencing dental 34 trauma 4. Sports accidents reportedly account for 10 to 39 percent of all dental injuries in children 35 (Newsome, Tran and Cooke 2001). A 10 year study of 3,385 craniomaxillofacial trauma cases presenting 36 37 to an oral and maxillofacial surgery department found 31.8 percent of injuries in children occurred during sports activities.<sup>5</sup> Children are most susceptible to sports related oral injury between the ages of seven and 38 39 11 years (Tesini and Soporowski 2000, Rodd and Chesham 1997, ADA 2006, Stewart et al 2009). The administrators of youth, high school, and college football, lacrosse, and ice hockey have demonstrated 40 41 that dental and facial injuries Children age 17 years and younger represented 80.6% of the total (sport and 42 not-sport related) dental injuries that presented that presented to U.S. emergency rooms from 1990-2003. In all age groups, males were more likely to have dental injuries than females.<sup>1</sup> 43 44 It has been demonstrated that dental and facial injuries can be reduced significantly by introducing 45 mandatory protective equipment.<sup>6,7</sup> Currently football, lacrosse and ice hockey require protective 46 equipment. Popular sports such as baseball, basketball, soccer, softball, wrestling, volleyball, and 47 gymnastics lag far behind in injury protection for girls and boys. Baseball and basketball have been 48 shown to have the highest incidence of sports-related dental injuries in children seven to 17 years of age.<sup>1</sup> 49 More specifically, baseball accounted for had the highest incidence most dental injuries within the seven 50 51 to 12 year old age group, while basketball was the most frequent sport associated with dental injuries in the 13 to 17 year age group. Youths participating in leisure activities such as skateboarding, inline or 52 roller skating, and bicycling also benefit from appropriate protective equipment. 8,9,10,11 A large national 53 54 survey confirmed the bicycle as the most common consumer sports product related to dental injuries in children <sup>1</sup> followed by playground equipment, other riding equipment (skates, roller blades) and 55 56 trampolines. 57 58 The use of the trampoline provides specialized training for certain sports. However, when used 59 recreationally, a significant number of head and neck injuries occurs, with head injuries most commonly a result of falls. 12 The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends practitioners advise patients 60 61 and their families against recreational trampoline use and discuss that current safety measures have not significantly decreased injury rates.<sup>12</sup> The AAP also states that practitioners "should only endorse use of 62 63 trampolines as part of a structured training program with appropriate coaching, supervision, and safety measures in place".12 64

65 Studies of dental and orofacial athletic injuries are reported throughout the medical and dental literature. 66 <sup>13,14,15,16</sup> Injury rates vary greatly depending on the size of the sample, the sample's geographic location, 67 the ages of the participants, and the specific sports involved in the study. <sup>13,14,15,16,17</sup> Rates of traumatic 68 dental injuries also differ in regards to the athlete's level of competition; less-professional athletes exhibit 69 a higher prevalence of sports-related injuries. 15 The highest incidence of sports-related dental injuries has 70 71 been demonstrated in 15 to 18 year old males (Huang 2009). Most of the current data regarding injuries 72 comes from the National High School Sports-Related Injury Surveillance Study and captures information 73 such as exposure (competition vs practice), the injury, and details of the event and type of protective equipment used. 18 Data from this source found that in 2016-2017 school year, of the 699,441 injuries 74 reported during competition; of those, 223,623 (32 percent) occurred to the head/face; another 91,410 75 76 occurred during practice. A similar study using this database followed athletes from 2008-2014 and 77 found the rate of dental injuries in competition was three times higher than in practice. For the majority 78 of these reported injuries, the athlete was not wearing a mouthguard. Review of this database found the highest rates of dental injuries in high school athletes occurred in girls' field hockey and boys' basketball 79 80 <sup>17</sup>, Although the statistics vary, many studies reported that dental and orofacial injuries occurred regularly and concluded that participation in sports carries a considerable risk of injury. 14,15,5,9 81 82 83 Consequences of orofacial trauma for children and their families are substantial because of potential for pain, psychological effects, and economic implications. Children with untreated trauma to permanent 84 teeth exhibit greater impacts on their daily living than those without any traumatic injury. 19,20 The yearly 85 costs of all injuries, including orofacial injuries, sustained by young athletes have been estimated to be 86 between 500 million <sup>21</sup> and as high as 1.8 billion dollars.<sup>3</sup> Significant costs can accrue over a patient's 87 lifetime for restorative, endodontic, prosthodontic, implant, or surgical treatment(s) resulting from 88 89 dentoalveolar trauma. Piccininni et al suggested that the lifetime cost of an avulsed tooth in a teenage athlete can reach \$20,000, exceeding the maximum benefits for most insurance companies. <sup>22</sup> Traumatic 90 91 dental injuries have additional indirect costs that include children's hours lost from school and parents' 92 hours lost from work, consequences that disproportionately burden lower income, minority, and noninsured children. 23,24,25,26 93 94 The majority of sport-related dental and orofacial injuries affect the upper lip, maxilla, and maxillary 95 incisors, with 50 to 90 percent of dental injuries involving the maxillary incisors. <sup>13,14,27,22</sup> The most 96

common dental injuries were lacerations (36.5%), chipped front teeth (23.9%) and avulsions (11.3%).<sup>21</sup> 97 Use of a mouthguard can protect the upper incisors. However, studies have shown that even with a 98 mouthguard in place, up to 25 percent of dentoalveolar injuries still can occur.<sup>28</sup> 99 100 101 Identifying patients who participate in sports and recreational activities allows the healthcare provider to 102 recommend and implement preventive protocols for individuals at risk for orofacial injuries. In 2000, a 103 predictive index was developed to identify the risk factors involved in various sports. This index is based upon a defined set of risk factors that predict the chance of injury including demographic information 104 105 (age, gender, dental occlusion), protective equipment (type/usage), velocity and intensity of the sport, 106 level of activity and exposure time, level of coaching and type of sports organization, whether the player 107 is a focus of attention in a contact or non-contact sport, history of previous sports-related injury, and the 108 situation (e.g., practice vs game). 9,29 Behavioral risk factors (e.g., hyperactivity) also have been associated significantly with injuries affecting the face and/or teeth. <sup>30,31</sup> While this predictive index 109 110 looked at contact versus non-contact sport as a factor, non-contact sports can carry significant risk. For example., basketball is one of the sports with the highest incidence of dental injury, but these injuries 111 usually involve player-player contact whereas greater than 87% of all dental injuries sustained by 112 baseball, softball and field hockey players are due to player-object contact.<sup>17</sup> 113 114 115 The frequency of dental trauma is significantly higher for children with increased overjet (>6 mm) and inadequate lip coverage. 32,33 A dental professional may be able to modify these risk factors. Initiating 116 preventive orthodontic treatment in early- to middle-mixed dentition of patients with an overjet greater 117 than three millimeters has the potential to reduce the severity of traumatic injuries to permanent incisors.<sup>32</sup> 118 119 Although some sports-related traumatic injuries are unavoidable, most can be prevented.<sup>33,34,35</sup> Helmets, 120 121 facemasks, and mouthguards have been shown to reduce both the frequency and severity of dental and orofacial trauma.<sup>33</sup> While facemasks may not significantly reduce the risk of orofacial trauma due to 122 123 player-player contact, they might have a significant effect with player-object contact. The protective and 124 positive results of wearing a mouthguard have been demonstrated in numerous epidemiological surveys and tests. <sup>36,15,37,39,40</sup> However, few sports have regulations that require their use. The National Federation 125 126 of State High School Associations mandates mouthguards only for football, ice hockey, lacrosse, and field hockey and for wrestlers wearing braces. 41 Several states have attempted to increase the number of 127 sports which mandate mouthguard use, with various degrees of success and acceptance. Four states 128

129 (Minnesota, New Hampshire, Maine and Massachusetts) have been successful in increasing the number of sports requiring mouthguard use to include sports such as soccer, wrestling, and basketball.<sup>35,42,43</sup> It is 130 likely that the mandated mouthguard rule has not expanded to other sports due to complaints by athletes, 131 parents, and coaches that mouthguards interfere with how the game is played and the athletes' 132 enjoyment. 44,42 Regardless of the relatively limited use of mouthguards in sports, the American Dental 133 Associations and International Academy of Sports Dentistry currently recommends the use of 134 mouthguards in 29 sports or activities.<sup>45</sup> 135 136 137 Initially used by professional boxers, the mouthguard has been used as a protective device since the early 1900s. 14,4,46 The mouthguard, also referred to as a gumshield or mouth protector, is defined as a "resilient 138 device or appliance placed inside the mouth to reduce oral injuries, particularly to teeth and surrounding 139 structures."47 The mouthguard was constructed to "protect the lips and intraoral tissues from bruising and 140 laceration, to protect the teeth from crown fractures, root fractures, luxations, and avulsions, to protect the 141 142 jaw from fracture and dislocations, and to provide support for edentulous space."48 The mouthguard helps to prevent fractures and dislocations of teeth by absorbing and redistributing shock during forceful 143 144 impacts and decreases the likelihood of jaw fracture by a similar mechanism and also by stabilizing the mandible. 40 The mouthguard decreases the incidence of soft tissue injuries by separating the teeth from 145 the tissues. works by "absorbing the energy imparted at the site of impact and by dissipating the 146 remaining energy." (McClelland, Kinirons and Geary 1999). Recent data suggests that a properly fitted 147 mouthguard of 3.0 mm thickness might reduce the incidence of concussion injuries from a blow to the 148 jaw by positioning the jaw to absorb the impact forces which without it would be transmitted through the 149 skull base to the brain.<sup>49</sup> 150 151 152 153 The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) classifies mouthguards by three categories 154  $(ASTM 2006)^{50}$ : 155 1. Type I – Custom-fabricated mouthguards are produced on a dental model of the patient's mouth by either the vacuum-forming or heat-pressure lamination technique.<sup>33</sup> The ASTM recommends that 156 for maximum protection, cushioning, and retention, the mouthguard should cover all teeth in at 157 least one arch, customarily the maxillary arch, less the third mola.<sup>50</sup> A mandibular mouthguard is 158 recommended for individuals with a Class III malocclusion. The custom-fabricated type is superior 159

- in retention, protection, and comfort.<sup>33,51,52,53,54</sup> When this type is not available, the mouth-formed mouthguard is preferable to the stock or preformed mouthguard.<sup>55,56,57</sup>
  - 2. Type II Mouth-formed, also known as boil-and-bite, mouthguards are made from a thermoplastic material adapted to the mouth by finger, tongue, and biting pressure after immersing the appliance in hot water. <sup>47</sup> Available commercially at department and sporting-good stores, <u>as well as online</u>, these are the most commonly used among athletes but vary greatly in protection, retention, comfort, and cost. <sup>36,33</sup>
  - 3. Type III Stock mouthguards are purchased over-the-counter. They are designed for use without any modification and must be held in place by clenching the teeth together to provide a protective benefit.<sup>33</sup> Clenching a stock mouthguard in place can interfere with breathing and speaking and, for this reason, stock mouthguards are considered by many to be less protective.<sup>36,48,54,58</sup> Despite these shortcomings, the stock mouthguard could be the only option possible for patients with particular clinical presentations (e.g., use of orthodontic brackets and appliances, periods of rapidly changing occlusion during mixed dentition).

The ASD "recommends the use of a properly fitted mouthguard. It encourages the use of a custom fabricated mouthguard made over a dental cast and delivered under the supervision of a dentist. The ASD strongly supports and encourages a mandate for use of a properly fitted mouthguard in all collision and contact sports."<sup>59</sup> During fabrication of the mouthguard, it is recommended to establish proper anterior occlusion of the maxillary and mandibular arches as this will prevent or reduce injury by better absorbing and distributing the force of impact.<sup>59</sup> The practitioner also should consider the patient's vertical dimension of occlusion, personal comfort, and breathing ability.<sup>57</sup> By providing cushioning between the maxilla and mandible, mouthguards also may reduce the incidence or severity of condylar displacement injuries as well as the potential for concussions.<sup>36,60,49</sup>

Due to the continual shifting of teeth in orthodontic therapy, the exfoliation of primary teeth, and the eruption of permanent teeth, a custom-fabricated mouthguard may not fit the young athlete soon after the impression is obtained.<sup>61</sup> Several block-out methods used in both the dental operatory and laboratory may incorporate space to accommodate for future tooth movement and dental development.<sup>61</sup> By anticipating required space changes, a custom fabricated mouthguard may be made to endure several sports seasons.<sup>61</sup>

Parents play an important role in the acquisition of a mouthguard for young athletes. In a 2004 national fee survey, custom mouthguards ranged from \$60 to \$285.54 In a study to determine the acceptance of the three types of mouthguards by seven and eight-year-old children playing soccer, only 24 percent of surveyed parents were willing to pay \$25 for a custom mouthguard.<sup>62</sup> Thus, cost may be a barrier<sup>62</sup>, however it could be more likely that children do not accept mouthguard use easily. Ina study of children receiving mouthguards at no cost, 29 percent never wore the mouthguard, 32 percent wore it occasionally, 15.9 percent wore it initially but quit wearing it after one month, and only 23.2 percent wore the mouthguard when needed.63 Attitudes of officials, coaches, parents, and players about wearing mouthguards influence their usage.<sup>44</sup> Although coaches are perceived as the individuals with the greatest impact on whether or not players wear mouthguards, parents view themselves as equally responsible for maintaining mouthguard use. 44,64 However, surveys of parents regarding the indications for mouthguard usage reveal a lack of complete understanding of the benefits of mouthguard use. 64 Compared to other forms of protective equipment, mouthguard use received only moderate parental support in youth soccer programs.<sup>65</sup> A survey commissioned by the American Association of Orthodontists (AAO) reported that 67 percent of parents stated their children do not wear a mouthguard during organized sports. The survey also found that 84 percent do not wear mouthguards while participating in organized sports because it is not required, even though other protective equipment such as helmets and shoulder pads is mandatory. <sup>66</sup> Players' perceptions of mouthguard use and comfort largely determine their compliance and enthusiasm.<sup>51</sup> Realizing athletes' speech as a potential hindrance to mouthguard compliance, the Academy for Sports Dentistry recommends that a properly fitted mouth guard should provide for adequate speech commiserate with the playing status of the athlete.<sup>59</sup> Given the multiple reasons for lack of compliance in wearing mouthguards, the dental profession needs to influence and educate all stakeholders about the risk of sports-related orofacial injuries and available preventive strategies. <sup>55,50,70</sup> Routine dental visits can be an opportunity to initiate patient/parent education and make appropriate recommendations for use of a properly-fitted athletic mouthguard.<sup>33</sup> Policy statement The AAPD encourages: Dentists to play an active role in educating the public in the use of protective equipment for the

prevention of orofacial injuries during sporting and recreational activities.

191

192193

194

195 196

197

198 199

200201

202

203204

205206

207

208

209

210211

212

213

214

215

216217

218219

220

221

- Continuation of preventive practices instituted in youth, high school and college football, lacrosse, field hockey, ice hockey, and wrestling (for wrestlers wearing braces).
  - An ASTM-certified face protector be required for youth participating in baseball and softball activities.
- Mandating the use of properly-fitted mouthguards in other organized sporting activities that carry
   risk of orofacial injury.
  - Coaches/administrators of organized sports to consult a dentist with expertise in orofacial injuries prior to initiating practices for a sporting season, for recommendations for immediate management of sports-related injuries (e.g., avulsed teeth).
  - Continuation of research in development of a comfortable, efficacious, and cost-effective sports mouthguard to facilitate more widespread use of this proven protective device.
    - Dentists of all specialties, including pediatric and general dentists, to provide education to parents
      and patients regarding prevention of orofacial injuries as part of the anticipatory guidance
      discussed during dental visits.
- Dentists to prescribe, fabricate, or provide referral for mouthguard protection for patients at increased risk for orofacial trauma.
  - Third-party payors to realize the benefits of mouthguards for the prevention and protection from orofacial sports-related injuries and, furthermore, encourages them to improve access to these services.
  - Pediatric dentists to partner with other dentists and child health professionals, school administrators, legislators, and community sports organizations to promote the broader use of mouthguards.
  - Pediatric dental departments to teach dental students fabrication of custom-fitting mouthguards.

## References

225

226

229230

231

232

233

234

235236

239

240

241

242

243

244

245246

- Stewart GB, Shields BJ, Fields S, Comstock RD, Smith GA. Consumer products and activities
   associated with dental injuries to children treated in United States emergency departments 1990 2003. Dental Traumatol 2009;25(4):399-405.
- 252 2. Barron M, Powell J. Fundamentals of injury prevention in youth sports. J Pediatr Dent Care 253 2005;11(2):10-2.
- 3. Adirim T, Cheng T. Overview of injuries in the young athlete. Sports Med 2003;33(1):75-81.

- 255 <u>4. Knapik JJ, Marshall SW, Lee RB, et al. Mouthguards in sport activities: history, physical</u>
- properties and injury prevention effectiveness. Sports Med 2007;37(2):117-44.
- 5. Gassner R, Tuli T, Hachl O, Rudisch A, Ulmer H. Craniomaxillofacial trauma: A 10 year review of
- 258 9,543 cases with 21,067 injuries. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2003;31:51-61.
- 259 <u>6. Black AM, Patton DA, Eliason PH, Emery CA. Prevention of sport-related facial injuries. Clin</u>
- 260 Sports Med 2017;36(2):257-78.
- 261 7. Carniol ET, Shaigany K, Svider PF, et al. "Beaned": A 5-year analysis of baseball-related injuries
- of the face. Otolaryn-Head Neck Surg 2015; 153(6): 957-61.
- 8. Tesini DA, Soporowski NJ. Epidemiology of orofacial sports-related injuries. Dent Clin North Am
- 264 2000;44(1):1-18.
- 265 9. Ranalli DN. Prevention of sports-related dental traumatic injuries. Dent Clin North Am
- 266 2000;44(1):35-51.
- 10. Finnoff JT, Laskowski ER, Altman KC, Diehl NW. Barriers to bicycle helmet use. Pediatrics
- 268 2001;108(1):4-10.
- 11. Fasciglione D, Persic R, Pohl Y, Fillippi A. Dental injuries in inline skating Level of information
- and prevention. Dent Traumatol 2007;23(3):143-8.
- 271 12. Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness American Academy of Pediatrics, Briskin S, LaBotz M.
- Policy statement on trampoline safety in childhood and adolescence. Pediatrics 2012;130(4):774-9.
- 273 Reaffirmation July 2015.
- 13. Kumamoto D, Maeda Y. Global trends and epidemiology of sports injuries. J Pediatr Dent Care
- 275 2005;11(2):15-25.
- 276 14. Kumamoto D, Maeda Y. A literature review of sports-related orofacial trauma. Gen Dent
- 277 2004;52(3):270-80.
- 278 15. Glendor U. Aetiology and risk factors related to traumatic dental injuries: A review of the literature.
- 279 Dental Traumatol 2009;25(1):19-31.
- 280 16. Huang B, Wagner M, Croucher R, Hector M. Activities related to the occurrence of traumatic
- dental injuries in 15- to 18-year-olds. Dental Traumatol 2009;25(1):64-8.
- 282 <u>17. Collins CL, McKenzie LB, Ferketich AK, Andridge R, Xiang H, Comstock RD. Dental injuries</u>
- sustained by high school athletes in the United States, from 2008/2009 through 2013/2014
- 284 <u>academic years. Dent Traum 2016;32(2):121-7.</u>
- 285 <u>18. Colorado School of Public Health, Program for Injury Prevention, Education and Research. High</u>
- School RIO. Reporting Information Online. Available at:

- 287 <a href="https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-">https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-</a>
- 3A\_www.webcitation.org\_6uhhnfR0u&d=DwIDAw&c=cpvmSBWXd8YiHoMtYk\_a9E2QIiaEhe
- 289 <u>G3-</u>
- 290 gfMB16YPq0&r=hFHClsP51iDHr5jtV4nGDsmSeh07jIzDQnatdBbLvKg&m=FUwjEBoeAFw6\_R
- 291 ndFANpEnwngEo-tlHCVWsWAxp1TBc&s=6-
- w72Asmvm8VWNYw5HY7EsX8ygPBSireHTkjFTjsE\_k&e= Accessed November 3, 2017.
- 293 19. Cortes M, Marcenes W, Sheiham A. Impact of traumatic injuries to the permanent teeth on the oral
- health-related quality of life in 12-14-year old children. Community Dent and Oral Epidemiol
- 295 2002;30(3):193-8.
- 20. Berger TD, Kenny DJ, Casas MJ, Barrett EJ, Lawrence HP. Effects of severe dentoalveolar trauma
- on the quality-of-life of children and parents. Dent Traumatol 2009;25(5):462-9.
- 298 21. Welch CI, Thomson WM, Kenned R. ACC claims for sports-related dental trauma from 1999-2008:
- 299 <u>a retrospective analysis. N Z Dent J. 2010; 106(2): 137-42.</u>
- 300 22. Piccininni P, Clough A, Padilla R, Piccininni G. Dental and orofacial Injuries. Clin Sports Med
- 301 2107;36 (2):369-405.
- 302 23. Sane J, Ylipaavalniemi P, Turtola L, Niemi T, Laaka V. Traumatic injuries among university
- students in Finland. J Am Coll Health 1997;46(1):21-4.
- 304 24. Ngyuyen PM, Kenny DJ, Barret EJ. Socio-economic burden of permanent incisor replantation on
- 305 children and parents. Dent Traumatol 2004;20(3):123-33.
- 306 25. Gift HC, Reisine ST, Larach DC. The social impact of dental problems and visits. Am J Public
- 307 Health 1992;82(12):1663-8.
- 308 26. McIntyre JD, Lee JY, Trope M, Vann WF. Elementary school staff knowledge about dental
- 309 injuries. Dent Traumatol 2008;24(3):289-98.
- Takeda T, Ishigami K, Nakajima K, et al. Are all mouthguards the same and safe to use? Part 2.
- The influence of anterior occlusion against a direct impact on maxillary incisors. Dent Traumatol
- 312 2008;24(3):360-5.
- 313 28. Onyeaso C, Adegbesan O. Knowledge and attitudes of coaches of secondary school athletes in
- 314 Ibadan, Nigeria regarding orofacial injuries and mouthguard use by the athletes. Dent Traumatol
- 315 2003;19(5):204-8.
- 316 29. Fos P, Pinkham JR, Ranalli DN. Prediction of sports-related dental traumatic injuries. Dent Clin
- 317 North Am 2000;44(1):19-33.
- 318 30 .Lalloo R. Risk factors for major injuries to the face and teeth. Dent Traumatol 2003;19(1):12-4.

- 31. Sabuncuoglu O. Traumatic dental injuries and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Is there a link? Dental Traumatol 2007;23(3):137-42.
- 32. Bauss O, Rohling J, Schwestka-Polly R. Prevalence of traumatic injuries to the permanent incisors in candidates for orthodontic treatment. Dent Traumatol 2004;20(2):61-6.
- 33. Ranalli DN. Sports dentistry in general practice. Gen Dent 2000;48(2):158-64.
- 34. 1st World Congress of Sports Injury Prevention. Abstracts. Br J Sports Med 2005;39:373-408.
- 35. Mills S. Can we mandate prevention? J Pediatr Dent Care 2005;11(2):7-8.
- 36. American Dental Association Council on Access, Prevention, and Interprofessional Relations and
- Council on Scientific Affairs. Using mouthguards to reduce the incidence and severity of sports-
- 328 related oral injuries. Statement on Athletic Mouthguards. J Am Dent Assoc 2006;137(12):1712-
- 329 <u>20. Available at:</u>
- "https://www.ada.org/~/media/ADA/Science%20and%20Research/Files/SCI\_Statement%20on%20
- 331 <u>Athletic%20Mouthguards\_2016Oct24.pdf?la=en</u>" Accessed March 16, 2018. (<u>Archived by</u>
- WebCite® at http://www.webcitation.org/6xy6JSRzl)
- 333 37. Ranalli, DN. Sports dentistry and dental traumatology. Dental Traumatol 2002;18(5):231-6..
- 38. Ozawa T, Tomotaka T, Ishigami K, et al. Shock absorption ability of mouthguard against forceful,
- traumatic mandibular closure. Dent Traum 2014; 30(3): 204-210.
- 39. Maeda Y, Kumamoto D, Yagi K, Ikebe K. Effectiveness and fabrication of mouthguards. Dental
- 337 Traumatol 2009;25(6):556-64.
- 338 40. Takeda T, Ishigami K, Mishima O, et al. Easy fabrication of a new type of mouthguard
- incorporating a hard insert and space and offering improved shock absorption ability. Dental
- 340 Traumatol 2011;27(6):489-95.
- 41. National Federation of State High School Associations, Sports Medicine Advisory Committee.
- Position Statement and Recommendations for Mouthguard Use in Sports. 2014. Available at:
- 343 "http://www.nfhs.org/media/1014750/mouthguard-nfhs-smac-position-statement-october-
- 344 2014.pdf". Accessed: March 16, 2018. (Archived by WebCite<sup>®</sup> at
- 345 http://www.webcitation.org/6xy6zdy5x)
- 346 <u>42. Mills SC. Mandatory mouthguard rules for high school athletes in the United States. General</u>
- 347 <u>Dentistry 2015; 63(6):35-40.</u>
- 43. Kumamoto D. Establishing a mouthguard program in your community. Gen Dent 2000;48:160-4.
- 349 44. Gardiner D, Ranalli DN. Attitudinal factors influencing mouthguard utilization. Dent Clin North
- 350 Am 2000;44(1):53-65.

- 351 45. American Dental Association. The importance of using mouthguards: tips for keeping your smile
- 352 <u>safe. JADA 2004; 135: 1061</u>.
- 353 46. Tooth protectors for boxers. Oral Hyg 1930;20: 298-9.
- 354 47. Newsome P, Tran D, Cooke M. The role of the mouthguard in the prevention of sports-related dental injuries: A review. Int J Paediatr Dent 2001;11(6):396-404.
- 48. Biasca N, Wirth S, Tegner Y. The avoidability of head and neck injuries in ice hockey: A historical review. Br J Sports Med 2002;36(6):410-27.
- 49. Winters J, DeMont R. Role of mouthguards in reducing mild traumatic brain injury/concussion
   incidence in high school athletes. Gen Dent 2014;62(3):34-8.
- 360 50. American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard practice for care and use of athletic mouth
   361 protectors. ASTM F697-00. West Conshohocken, Pa: 2016, www.astm.org.
- McClelland C, Kinirons M, Geary L. A preliminary study of patient comfort associated with
   customised mouthguards. Br J Sports Med 1999;33(3):186-9.
- Warnet L, Greasley A. Transient forces generated by projectiles on variable quality mouthguards
   monitored by instrumented impact testing. Br J Sports Med 2001;35(4):257-62
- 53. Greasley A, Imlach G, Karet B. Application of a standard test to the in vitro performance of
   mouthguards. Br J Sports Med 1998;32(1):17-9.
- Duddy FA, Weissman J, Lee, RA Sr, Paranipe A, Johnson JD, Cohenca N. Influence of different
   types of mouthguards on strength and performance of collegiate athletes: A controlled-randomized
   trial. Dent Traumatol 2012;28(4):263-7.
- 55. Patrick DG, van Noort R, Found MS. Scale of protection and the various types of sports mouthguard. Br J Sports Med 2005;39(5):278-81.
- 56. Bureau of Dental Health Education and Bureau of Economic Research and Statistics. Evaluation of mouth protectors used by high school football players. J Am Dent Assoc 1964;68:430-42.
- 57. DeYoung AK, Robinson E, Godwin WC. Comparing comfort and wearability: Custom-made vs. self-adapted mouthguards. J Am Dent Assoc 1994;125(8):1112-8.
- 58. Ranalli DN. Prevention of craniofacial injuries in football. Dent Clin North Am 1991;35(4):627-45.
- 59. Academy for Sports Dentistry. Position statement: A properly fitted mouthguard. 2010. Available
   at:
- http://www.academyforsportsdentistry.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=51:p
  osition-statements&catid=20:site-content&Itemid=111. Accessed 10/19/2017

- Waliko T, Bir C, Godwin W, King A. Relationship between temporomandibular joint dynamics and mouthguards: Feasibility of a test method. Dent Traumatol 2004;20(5):255-60.
- 61. Croll T, Castaldi CR. Custom sports mouthguard modified for orthodontic patients and children in the transitional dentition. Pediatr Dent 2004;26(5):417-20.
- 386 62. Walker J. Parents plus: Getting mouthguards into kids' mouths. J Pediatr Dent Care 2005;11(2):39-387 40.
- 388 63. Matalon V, Brin I, Moskovitz M, Ram D. Compliance of children and youngsters in the use of mouthguards. Dental Traumatol 2008;24(4):462-7.
- 390 64. Diab N, Mourino A. Parental attitudes toward mouth-guards. Pediatr Dent 1997;19(8):455-60.
- 391 65. Khodaee M, Fetters MD, Gorenflo DW. Football (soccer) safety equipment use and parental attitudes toward safety equipment in a community youth sports program. Res Sports Med
- 393 2011;19(2):129-43.
- 394 66. Academy for Sports Dentistry. Position statement: Mouthguard mandates. 2010. Available at:
- ${\it ``http://www.academyforsportsdentistry.org/Organization/PositionStatement/tabid/58/Default.aspx"}$
- 396 . Accessed March 24, 2013.
- http://www.academyforsportsdentistry.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=51:p osition-statements&catid=20:site-content&Itemid=111. Accessed 10/19/2017
- 399 67. Walker J, Jakobsen J, Brown S. Attitudes concerning mouthguard use in 7- to 8-year-old children. J
- 400 Dent Child 2002;69(2):207-11.
- 401 68. Raaii F, Vaidya N, Vaidya K, et al. Patterns of mouthguard utilization among atom and pee wee 402 minor ice hockey players: A pilot study. Clin J Sport Med 2011;21(4):320-4.
- 69. Gawlak D, Mańka-Malara K, Kamiński T, Łuniewska M, Mierzwińska-Nastalska E. Comparative
   evaluation of custom and standard boil and bite (self-adapted) mouthguards and their effect on the
   functioning of the oral cavity. Dent Traum 2016;32(5):416-20.
- 406 70. Woodmansey K. Athletic mouth guards prevent orofacial injuries: A review. Gen Dent 1999;47(1):64-9.
- 409 Academy for Sports Dentistry, American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, American Association of Oral-
- 410 and Maxillofacial Surgeons, American Association of Orthodontists, American Dental Association.
- 411 Play It Safe: Prevent Facial Injuries With Simple Sports Safety Precautions. April 1, 2013.
- 412 Available at: URL https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-
- 413 3A\_\_www.webcitation.org\_6uhfP9YPL&d=DwIDAw&c=cpvmSBWXd8YiHoMtYk\_a9E2QliaEheG3-

114	gfMB16YPq0&r=hFHClsP51iDHr5jtV4nGDsmSeh07jIzDQnatdBbLvKg&m=O_FtFg1xNMJPiFERixLkTR
115	RTP1Ohw1kW7P6iL-zPuv8&s=m2VF0M79qaARkp7MeyYYZ7-4cPVpknYgN-y9FcFRk8Q&e
116	11/3/2017.
117	Castaldi CR. Sports related oral and facial injuries in the young athlete: A new challenge for the pediatric
118	dentist. Pediatr Dent 1986;8(4):311-6.
119	Castaldi CR. Athletic mouthguards: History and present status. Sports Med Digest 1988;10:1-2.
120	Forsberg C, Tedestam G. Etiological and predisposing factors related to traumatic injuries to permanent
121	teeth. Swed Dent J 1993;17(5):183-90.
122	Gassner R, Tuli T, Hachl O, Moreira R, Ulmer H. Craniomaxillofacial trauma in children: A review of
123	3,385 cases with 6,060 injuries in 10 years. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004;62(4):399-407.
124	Kvittem B, Hardi NA, Roettger M, Conry J. Incidence of orofacial injuries in high school sports. J Public
125	Health Dent 1998;58(4):288-93.