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Abstract

This clinical report describes the delayed development of a maxillary second premolar. The premolar developed when the patient was between the ages of 12 and 16 years. The development of the tooth was discovered from a panoramic radiograph taken when the patient was 17 years, 1 month of age. Previous to this discovery, the tooth had been diagnosed as congenitally missing.

The transition from the primary dentition to the permanent dentition can be complicated by an aberrant second premolar, either congenitally absent or delayed in development. The prevalence of some missing permanent teeth (hypodontia) is a common developmental anomaly. A less frequent situation is apparent hypodontia due to delayed tooth development, leading to a possible misdiagnosis as congenital absence of the tooth. This clinical report will describe a patient who developed a maxillary second premolar sometime between the ages of 12 and 16 years, after the patient had been diagnosed as having a congenitally missing premolar.

Literature Review

Hypodontia is not an uncommon finding. In a review of the literature, Silverman and Ackerman reported a summary of the results of various studies. The prevalence of missing teeth in the permanent dentition, with the exception of third molars is reported to be from 2.3 to 10.2%. One or more third molars are reported to be missing in approximately 25% of the population. The teeth most commonly missing, excluding third molars, are mandibular second premolars, maxillary lateral incisors, and maxillary second premolars, in that order.

Maxillary second premolars should begin hard tissue formation at 2-2½ years of age, with enamel formation completed at age 6-7 according to Logan and Kröpfel. Massler et al. reported that mineralization of premolars begins at age 2-2½.

Based upon several other studies, Jorgenson formulated a table listing ages at which teeth can be expected to be visible on radiographs (Table 1). The maxillary second premolars should be visible by age 3.

Ravn and Nielsen reported findings of a longitudinal radiographic study of the mineralization of second premolars. They state that odontogenesis of the second premolar begins by age 3-3½ and a tentative diagnosis of aplasia can be made if there is no radiographic evidence of the tooth by age 6. They further state, however, that the second premolar can be very late in developing and that the chance of this occurring is greater in the maxilla than in the mandible.

Based upon these previous studies, the dentist who finds no evidence of second premolars developing in a child older than age 6 may develop a treatment plan that would assume aplasia of this tooth.
This assumption should be guarded, however, because there are several reports in the literature of an apparent initial lack of radiographic evidence of developing premolars; but at age 8, 10, or even 13, subsequent radiographs revealed development of these teeth, or even supernumerary premolars.11-15

Patient Presentation

CJ, a 17-year-old black female, had received dental treatment at the University of Nebraska Medical Center, College of Dentistry for 11 years. The patient's medical history was noncontributory, with no history of facial trauma or premature birth. The patient's dental history was also noncontributory, other than evidence of an apparently congenitally missing maxillary left second premolar and maxillary right lateral incisor. These apparent anomalies were discovered at age 7 years, 11 months during the patient's first dental examination (Fig 1).

At this initial dental examination, the maxillary left primary second molar was diagnosed as carious and a stainless steel crown restoration was scheduled. Because of the apparent absence of a permanent successor, the primary second molar was restored to approximate the mesiodistal dimension of a maxillary second premolar.

The next panoramic radiograph was taken at a routine dental examination when the patient was age 11 years, 11 months (Fig 2). The stainless steel crown restoration of the maxillary left primary second molar appeared to be satisfactory. The patient received restorative dental treatment and was scheduled for routine recall dental examinations.

At age 17 years, 1 month, during a recall dental examination, the patient complained of intermittent discomfort in her posterior mandible. A panoramic radiograph was taken to evaluate her third molars for possible surgical extraction. Upon examination of the radiograph, the maxillary second premolar was found to be developing (Fig 3).

An investigation of the patient's family was conducted. No other family member had knowledge of congenitally missing or delayed eruption of any teeth. Currently, the patient is being followed for routine dental care and to follow the eruption of her maxillary second premolar.

Discussion

The unilateral aplasia of a maxillary second bicuspid is not uncommon.2,4 From the reports of Jorgenson,2 and Ravn and Nielsen,10 the dentist should expect to see radiographic evidence of the maxillary second bicuspid by age 3 and could make a tentative diagnosis of aplasia by age 6. The clinician must be aware, however, of the possibility of very delayed development of this tooth as occurred in this patient.

Awareness of the possibility of very delayed development of second premolars could influence the treatment plan for a patient with apparent aplasia. This awareness is especially important when the treatment may consist of prosthetic replacement or orthodontic repositioning if the primary second molar is lost.
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